



God's Firstborn Sons

A Triad of Firstborn Sons

**A Study about Sons, Firstborn
Sons, Adoption, and Inheritance**

Arlen L. Chitwood

God presently has two firstborn Sons — *CHRIST* (Heb. 1:6) and *ISRAEL* (Ex. 4:22, 23). *CHRIST is God's firstborn Son through procreation* (John 3:16), and *ISRAEL is God's firstborn son through adoption* (Rom. 9:4).

And God is about to bring into existence a *third firstborn son through adoption — the CHURCH* (Rom. 8:14, 15, 19, 23).

“Sonship” implies *rulership*. *ONLY Sons can hold REGAL positions in God's kingdom* — past, present, and future. That's the way God established matters in the beginning, and *that which God has established in this respect NEVER changes*.

In the human realm though, something additional was added — a *FIRSTBORN status*. In the human realm, unlike the angelic realm, an individual has to be a *FIRSTBORN SON* in order to rule and reign in God's kingdom.

Angels alone (sons of God because of creation) have ruled throughout God's kingdom in time past (both over this earth and elsewhere in the universe). But, with man's creation — an entirely new order in the universe, an individual created in God's image, after His likeness — a change in the order of rulers within God's government was made known.

Man was created for *REGAL purposes* (Gen. 1:26-28); and though sin subsequently entered, resulting in a ruined creation (Gen. 3:1ff), *God did NOT and will NOT change His mind concerning the reason He brought man into existence* (Rom. 11:29).

The whole of man's salvation has this high end in view, whether salvation past (the spiritual birth, presently possessed by all Christians) or salvation present and future (the saving of the soul, not presently possessed by believers but awaiting realization). Man has been, is being, and is about to be saved for a *revealed, REGAL purpose*.

A *NEW order of Sons is about to be brought forth* (Rom. 8:19; cf. Heb. 2:5). And *ONLY then* will God's purpose for man's creation (in the beginning) and God's provision for man's subsequent salvation (following his ruin) be realized.

God's Firstborn Sons

A Triad of Firstborn Sons

“For the earnest expectation of the creation waiteth for the manifestation of the sons of God” (Rom. 8:19).

Cover Picture: The Canadian Rocky Mountains, Fall, 2010

God's Firstborn Sons

A Triad of Firstborn Sons

by
Arlen L. Chitwood

Lamp Broadcast, Inc.
225 S. Cottonwood Ranch Road
Cottonwood, Arizona 86326

or
74798 South 4710 Road
Westville, Oklahoma 74965

www.lampbroadcast.org

Originally Printed 2004
Revised 2013, 2019

By the Same Author —

RUTH
ESTHER
BY FAITH
RUN TO WIN
LET US GO ON
END OF THE DAYS
MOSES AND JOHN
IN THE LORD'S DAY
DISTANT HOOFBEATS
THE BRIDE IN GENESIS
SO GREAT SALVATION
SEARCH FOR THE BRIDE
WE ARE ALMOST THERE
SIGNS IN JOHN'S GOSPEL
SALVATION OF THE SOUL
HAD YE BELIEVED MOSES
THE MOST HIGH RULETH
THE SPIRITUAL WARFARE
THE STUDY OF SCRIPTURE
COMING IN HIS KINGDOM
FROM EGYPT TO CANAAN
MYSTERY OF THE WOMAN
SEVEN, TEN GENERATIONS
REDEEMED FOR A PURPOSE
JUDGMENT SEAT OF CHRIST
THE RAPTURE AND BEYOND
FROM ACTS TO THE EPISTLES
MYSTERIES OF THE KINGDOM
PROPHECY ON MOUNT OLIVET
NEVER AGAIN! OR YES, AGAIN!
THE TIME OF JACOB'S TROUBLE
BROUGHT FORTH FROM ABOVE
ISRAEL — FROM DEATH TO LIFE
JUDE — ACTS OF THE APOSTATES
O SLEEPER! ARISE, CALL...! (JONAH)
THE TIME OF THE END (REVELATION)
MIDDLE EAST PEACE — HOW? WHEN?
SALVATION BY GRACE THROUGH FAITH
MESSAGE IN THE GOSPELS, ACTS, EPISTLES
ISRAEL — WHAT DOES THE FUTURE HOLD?

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	vii
I. CHRIST	1
GOD'S ONLY BEGOTTEN FIRSTBORN SON	
II. ISRAEL	13
GOD'S ONLY CREATED AND ADOPTED FIRSTBORN SON	
III. THE CHURCH	29
A CREATED SON AWAITING ADOPTION AS A FIRSTBORN SON	
APPENDIXES	41
I) ADOPTION, REDEMPTION OF THE BODY	41
II) OLD TESTAMENT SUBJECT AND STRUCTURE	49
SCRIPTURE INDEX	55

FOREWORD

When referring to *firstborn sons in the human realm*, only one son can be in view through the natural process of procreation. But, *in the Divine realm*, the whole of the matter is seen from a different perspective.

Though God possesses *only ONE firstborn Son* through procreation (Jesus), as in the human realm (*cf.* John 3:16; Heb. 1:6), He can possess *OTHER firstborn sons* through adoption (*i.e.*, God taking a son and adopting that son into a firstborn status).

And this is *EXACTLY* what God has done with one son and will do yet future with another son.

The nation of ISRAEL is God's son because of creation (Isa. 43:1, 7), and this nation is God's firstborn son because of a subsequent adoption (Rom. 9:4):

“Thus saith the Lord, Israel is my son [because of creation], even my firstborn [because of a subsequent adoption]” (Ex. 4:22b).

Then, God is about to bring ANOTHER firstborn son into existence.

God, through His Spirit, is presently leading Christians from immaturity to maturity through what is seen in Heb. 12:5-8 as “child-training” (the Greek word, used in both noun and verb forms in this passage, is from a form of a word referring to a *young child* — thus, the translation, “child-training” [*ref.* Chapter III in this book, pp. 37, 38]).

And this word, contextually, has to do with “instruction” or “teaching,” which is the manner in which the translators of the KJV, NASB, and NIV translated the word in a similar context in II Tim. 3:16.

And those Christians who allow “child-training” (or “instruction,” “teaching”) are referred to in a *present sense as “sons,” rather than “children,”* something possible because of a *prior creation* (II Cor. 5:17; *cf.* Eph. 2:10).

Then, *the present child-training of "sons"* is with a view to "*adoption*" yet *future*, in order that *these sons* (through this future adoption) might be placed in the position of "*firstborn sons*," allowing them to exercise *the rights of the firstborn* (Heb. 12:16, 17, 23).

And the preceding is with a view to God, in that coming day, having *THREE firstborn Sons (CHRIST, ISRAEL, and the CHURCH)* to occupy positions of power and authority in His kingdom, exercising *the rights belonging to firstborn sons*.

("Three" is the number of *Divine perfection*. And "three firstborn Sons" will show *Divine perfection in Christ's kingdom*.)

ONLY Sons can rule in God's kingdom. And, within the human realm, *ONLY firstborn Sons* can rule.

Sons rule the earth today ("angels" — *sons* because of creation). But God is about to remove the present order of sons and give the power and authority in the kingdom to *a new order of Sons — THREE firstborn Sons — from the human realm*.

Those forming *the nation of ISRAEL*, presently God's firstborn son but also a disobedient son, will, following the nation being brought to the place of repentance, along with the nation's salvation and restoration, occupy positions of power and authority over the nations *from the earthly land covenanted to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob*.

Those forming *the CHURCH*, following the adoption into a firstborn status (as seen in Heb. 12:23), will occupy positions of power and authority over the nations *from a heavenly sphere, that heavenly sphere presently occupied by Satan and his angels*.

And *CHRIST*, God's only begotten firstborn Son, will rule the nations *from both spheres of the kingdom*. *He will rule from David's throne in the midst of His people, Israel (God's firstborn son), on the earth; and He will rule from His Own throne with His co-heirs (God's firstborn son) in the heavens*.

These different facets of the coming kingdom of Christ, along with the place which firstborn Sons will occupy in this kingdom, is what this book is about.

And the whole of Scripture moves in this direction, beginning in the Book of Genesis and ending in the Book of Revelation.

1

Christ

GOD'S ONLY BEGOTTEN FIRSTBORN SON

“God, who at sundry times and in divers manners spake in time past unto the fathers by the prophets,

Hath in these last days spoken unto us by his Son, whom he hath appointed heir of all things, by whom also he made the worlds;

Who being the brightness of his glory, and the express image of his person, and upholding all things by the word of his power, when he had by himself purged our sins, sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high;

Being made so much better than the angels, as he hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent name than they.

For unto which of the angels said he at any time, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son.

And again when he bringeth in [lit., ‘And when He shall again bring in’] the firstbegotten into the world [‘the inhabited world’], he saith, And let all the angels of God worship him” (Heb. 1:1-6).

God has *many* “Sons.”

First and *foremost* would be God’s Son from eternity, *Jesus*, God’s “only begotten Son” following His incarnation and birth.

Angels, because of their special and individual creation, are viewed as “sons of God” (Gen. 6:4; Job 1:6; 2:1; 38:7).

And the first man, the first Adam, for the same reason as seen in the angelic realm — a special and individual creation — was also viewed as God’s son, “the son of God” (Luke 3:38b).

Adam's descendants though, following the fall, *were NOT viewed in this same manner*. Rather, they were viewed as sons of Adam, or *sons of his progeny*. They were revealed to be *sons of a fallen individual, or sons of his fallen descendants* (cf. Gen. 5:3ff; 11:10ff; Luke 3:23-38).

(The word "son" only appears once in the Greek text throughout the genealogy in Luke 3:23-38 — at the very beginning, in v. 23b ["... being (as was supposed) the son of Joseph..."]).

The structure of the Greek text though [a list of articular genitives, beginning in v. 23] necessitates that the thought of "son," though not shown in each succeeding generation, be continued from its introductory usage and understood throughout the genealogy.

This is why translators have shown the word in italics in each generation, following its introductory usage, all the way back to Adam, "the *son* of God.")

In the Divine realm, aside from God's Son, Jesus, the one created (whether an angel or a man) is viewed as a "son."

In the human realm, the one begotten is viewed as a "son."

In the former realm, "sons of God" are in view; in the latter realm, "sons of named fallen creatures" are in view.

Within God's economy, "sonship" (sons of God) is inseparably connected with *regality*, in both the angelic and the human realms.

ANGELS, "sons of God," were created to have a part in God's government of the universe — provinces located throughout the galaxies, with the earth being one of these provinces.

And MAN, beginning with Adam, a "son of God," was created for EXACTLY the SAME purpose — to FIRST replace the incumbent ruler of this earth (Satan, a disqualified ruler), and THEN to subsequently occupy regal positions beyond the earth, in God's universal kingdom.

"Sonship" implies *rulership*. But "sonship" among Adam's descendants following the fall is another matter, which can NO longer be associated with *regality* in this same respect.

Descendants of Adam, following the fall, could NO longer be looked upon as "sons of God." Rather, they could ONLY be looked upon as *sons of a fallen individual, possessing the same fallen nature as their father* (cf. Gen. 5:3ff), estranged from any thought of *regality*.

Redemption and Adoption

Following man's fall, "*redemption*" became necessary if man was to ever realize the purpose for his prior creation — "*regality*." Accordingly, this was something which God brought to pass immediately following man's sin, something involving *death and shed blood*.

And once God had established matters in this respect, *NO change could EVER occur*. *Redemption* at any subsequent point in Scripture would *ALWAYS* be the *SAME* — *that brought to pass on the basis of death and shed blood*.

But redemption itself *has NOTHING to do with "sonship" per se*.

Adam, as Satan, was a "son of God" before his fall; and he, as Satan, remained a "son of God" following his fall.

Adam's fall, as Satan's previous fall, wrought *NO change in his position as God's son* (though he was *NO longer* in a position to move into and exercise that portended by sonship — *REGALITY* — as Satan, following his fall, was *NO longer* qualified to continue exercising that portended by sonship).

And, relative to Adam's descendants, who *are NOT* "sons of God," the converse of matters pertaining to redemption and sonship are equally true. The redemption of Adam's descendants *does NOT* restore the sonship standing possessed by Adam.

One (redemption, or even the fall itself, necessitating redemption) has NOTHING to do with the other (with sonship).

"Sonship" results from *creation ALONE, NOT redemption*. This was something originally established in the angelic realm and then subsequently seen in the human realm, in Genesis chapters one and two.

And, as the established means for "*redemption*" *NEVER changes throughout Scripture*, the established means for bringing into existence "*a son of God*" *NEVER changes throughout Scripture as well*.

Thus, in order for God to place Adam's progeny back into the position for which man was created — to rule and to reign — *fallen man MUST not only be "redeemed" but "creation" MUST again be involved, for ONLY sons of God can rule in God's kingdom*.

That is to say, *God MUST not only "redeem" fallen man but He MUST also perform a special "creation" of a nature which would place man back*

in the position of "God's son." Apart from this dual act, man would forever be estranged from the reason God brought him into existence.

Then, because of the rights of primogeniture (rights of the firstborn) which God established in the human realm (seen in the position which Christ holds as God's Son — that of *Firstborn*, through being begotten by the Father), *the one who holds the sceptre MUST be MORE than just God's son to realize these established rights. He, as Christ, MUST ALSO be a firstborn son of God.*

And God accomplished/will accomplish this — "a son" becoming "a firstborn son" — through the process of *adoption* (Gk., *huiiothesia*, "son-placing").

Adoption in Scripture is connected with "sons," NOT with "children." The process has to do with *taking one who is already a son (because of creation) and placing that son in a firstborn status (through adoption).*

Viewing the entire matter from the beginning, man is saved through a work of the Spirit on the basis of *death and shed blood*, which has been *God's ONLY means* of salvation for fallen man since the matter was introduced in the opening four chapters of Genesis.

Through this means, the Spirit can breathe life into the one having no life, on the basis of death and shed blood, allowing man to pass "from death unto life" (John 5:24; Eph. 2:1).

ONLY THEN can matters having to do with sonship, or a subsequent firstborn status within sonship, enter into the picture.

CREATION must be involved in the former and ADOPTION in the latter. And NEITHER creation NOR adoption enters into matters surrounding man's eternal salvation. BOTH are ALWAYS in connection with or subsequent to the Spirit's work effecting this salvation.

Creation during the past dispensation had to do with Jacob and his descendants through his twelve sons. God took Jacob (a saved individual) and performed a special creative act — one which, as the Adamic creation preceding the fall, had to do with the physical man and could be passed on from father to son (Isa. 43:1-10).

(Note a difference between procreation relative to Adam [preceding the fall] and later relative to Jacob insofar as *sonship* was concerned.

Sonship within the Adamic creation could have been passed on from father to son prior to the fall, but matters changed in this respect at the

time of the fall. Following the fall, *sonship* could only relate to a *fallen father, with the individual estranged from any thought of adoption and regality.*

Then, at a later time, to rectify matters, God took one man out of the fallen human race and [using that individual] performed a *special creation*, where the thought of *adoption* and *regality* could follow. And, since the matter was *natural* rather than *spiritual* [a creation involving “Jacob” the natural man, NOT “Israel” the spiritual man], with the whole of the matter occurring *following the fall*, sonship could be passed on from father to son.

Thus, the natural, physical descendants of Jacob through his twelve sons — *regardless of their spiritual condition* [for “spiritual” does NOT enter into the matter] — from Jacob’s day to modern times, are seen as “God’s son” [corporate, or “God’s sons,” individually (Isa. 43:1-7)].

And, following God’s adoption of His “son,” the descendants of Jacob [preceding the Exodus under Moses], the nation of Israel was seen as “God’s firstborn son” [Ex. 4:22, 23].)

Creation during the present dispensation has to do with *an individual’s positional standing* “in Christ.”

God takes a saved individual and places him “in Christ,” resulting in *an entirely new creation* (II Cor. 5:17) — something which occurs at the time that individual is saved, though separate from his salvation per se (a work of the Spirit beyond His work surrounding salvation, a work peculiar to the present dispensation).

And because this has to do with *the spiritual man* rather than the natural man (the physical man), *things surrounding this new creation CANNOT be passed on from father to son. Rather, an individual has to himself believe and experience these things personally.*

Creation in the past dispensation was “in Jacob,” during the present dispensation it is “in Christ.”

Israel (the old creation in Jacob) has already been adopted and is presently *God’s firstborn son* (Ex. 4:22, 23).

The adoption of *Christians* though (*new creations “in Christ”*) is future and will occur at the conclusion of the present dispensation, following the appearance of Christians before the judgment seat of Christ (*cf.* Rom. 8:15, 23; Gal. 4:5; Eph. 1:5).

(For more information on the preceding, refer to the appendix in this book, “Adoption, Redemption of the Body.”)

Thus, because of “creation,” *Christians CAN presently be viewed as “sons”* (cf. Rom. 8:14; Gal. 3:26; 4:6, 7; Heb. 12:5-8 [the Greek word *huios*, “son,” is used in all these passages]).

BUT, because the “adoption” is still future, *Christians CANNOT presently be viewed as “firstborn sons.”*

(The preceding briefly introduces the material in this book dealing with “God’s Firstborn Sons,” showing the why and the necessity of *sonship* and *adoption* with respect to *regality*.)

As previously shown, God presently has two firstborn Sons [*Christ* and *Israel*] and will one day have a third firstborn son [*the Church*, following the adoption, as seen in Heb. 12:23]. And only when God’s third firstborn son has been brought into existence can man realize *the regal purpose* for his creation, revealed at the beginning in Gen. 1:26-28.

Both “creation” with respect to *sonship* and “adoption” with respect to a *firstborn standing*, in relation to both Israel and Christians, is dealt with more fully in Chapters II, III of this book. The remainder of this chapter will deal with God’s Son from eternity, the One possessing a standing as Firstborn, *through birth*, providing Him with the rights of primogeniture within the human realm in relation to His position as the second Man, the last Adam.)

God’s Son from Eternity

There has never been a time when Christ was not God’s Son. He has been God’s Son from eternity, always co-existing and being co-equal with the Father.

But, though there has never been a time when the Son did not exist and occupy the position of God’s Son, being co-equal with the Father, there has been a time when the Son did not occupy the position of *Firstborn* in the human realm.

God, at a point in time, took His Son and, *through birth, using a female descendant of Adam*, placed Him in the position of *Firstborn* (God’s “only begotten Son”) — *a necessary position for His Son to realize the rights of primogeniture as the second Man, the last Adam.*

Thus, when dealing with Christ’s incarnation and birth, *FAR MORE is involved than His becoming a Man in order to redeem fallen man.*

Salvation which fallen man possesses today is *ONLY the beginning of the matter*. *Salvation is for a revealed PURPOSE*, which has to do with man ultimately being placed back in the position for which he was created.

In this respect, *the reason for the incarnation covers the WHOLE panorama of the matter — FROM the Spirit breathing life into the one having no life TO the adoption of sons*.

Note what Jesus told Pilate in John 18:37 in response to the question:

“Art thou a king then? [*lit.*, ‘So you are a King!’ (a statement, or a statement in the form of a question, worded in the Greek text in a manner expecting a ‘Yes’ response)].”

And Jesus responded in complete keeping with that which Pilate had stated. Rather than as in the KJV — “Thou sayest that I am a king...” — the translation should be more along the lines of:

“Yes! You say truly that I am a King!” (*ref.* Weymouth).

Jesus then went on to say:

“To this end was I born, and for this cause came I into the world...”

Christ was born *King* (Matt. 2:2); but He came into the world for purposes surrounding *the COMPLETE panorama of redemption*, which, in its outworking, is *REGAL*.

The incarnation was for *purposes* foreshadowed by *God’s work* throughout the six days in Gen. 1:2b-28 (*Restoration of ruined man*), and the incarnation has its *fulfillment* in that foreshadowed by *God’s rest* on the seventh day in Gen. 2:1-3 (*the millennial day*).

Then there will be a *further fulfillment* beyond that in the eternal ages beyond the seventh day of rest, which Scripture deals with only sparingly. Man in that day beyond the Messianic Era will exercise *regal power of a universal nature*, for this power will emanate from “the throne of God and of the Lamb” (Rev. 22:1, 3), a throne from which *universal rule* will issue forth.

At the time Jesus appeared before Pilate, shortly after the interchange with Pilate relative to His Kingship, the Jews accused Christ of *making Himself* “the Son of God” (John 19:7b; *cf.* II Sam. 7:12-14).

This resulted in Pilate becoming even “more afraid” (v. 8), for *he apparently knew*, in complete keeping with his previous conversation with Jesus, *the implications involved if Christ were truly God's Son*.

As previously shown, “sonship” implies *rulership*; and this is clearly seen in the Jewish religious leaders’ next accusation, which immediately followed their statement relative to Christ’s claim to be *God's Son*:

“...whosoever *maketh himself a king* [i.e., a statement in complete keeping with their previous accusation — Christ had ‘made himself the Son of God’ (v. 7)] *speaketh against Caesar*” (v. 12b).

The picture is similar to that seen in Ex. 4:22, 23. God had instructed Moses to tell Pharaoh, “Israel is my son, even my firstborn.”

And Pharaoh was expected to understand from Moses’ statement that God recognized this lowly nation of slaves (the Israelites) in subjection to the most powerful Gentile nation of that day (the Egyptians) as *His firstborn son, the nation in possession of the rights of primogeniture, the nation which God recognized as possessing the right to hold the sceptre*.

In John chapters eighteen and nineteen, *God's firstborn Son, Jesus*, stood before Pilate and was falsely accused by *God's firstborn son, Israel*; and Pilate himself became *increasingly afraid* surrounding the entire matter.

The fear which Pilate exhibited, as seen in the text, could only have been a mild description of how Pilate would possibly have responded had he known the full scope and implications of that which was transpiring on that day, for he was using his power to *subjugate one son and to ultimately condemn the other Son*.

And BOTH of the Sons being mistreated that day were the Ones possessing the RIGHT to hold the sceptre, NOT Pilate.

The Heir of All Things

The Book of Hebrews opens through introducing Christ as the One Whom God has placed *at the center of ALL things* in the outworking of His plans and purposes. God spoke “in time past unto the fathers by the prophets,” but, “in these last days,” God

has spoken “unto us by his Son.”

In both instances, God is the One doing the speaking. In the former instance, God spoke in the person of the prophets; in the latter instance, God has spoken in the person of His Son (vv. 1, 2a).

The record then continues with references to the Son, not to the prophets. The Son is the One Whom the Father “hath appointed heir of all things”; and the Son is the One through Whom the Father “made the worlds [*lit.*, ‘made the ages’]” (v. 2b).

The Father designed the ages around the person and work of the One Whom He “hath appointed heir of all things,” with the outworking of that seen in the Son’s heirship occurring within the framework of these designed ages.

Reference is then made to Christ’s person, His finished work at Calvary, His ascension to the Father’s right hand, and His position relative to the angels following His ascension (which was different than His position before His ascension [*cf.* Heb. 2:7, 9]). Then the thought immediately moves back to the subject previously introduced — Christ as the “appointed heir of all things” (vv. 3, 4).

And this second statement surrounding Christ’s heirship is used to *introduce seven Messianic quotations from the Old Testament* (vv. 5-13).

The way in which the book opens introduces the subject matter in the book — something seen in the structure of numerous other books in Scripture, along with Scripture as a whole in the opening verses of Genesis (1:1-2:3). The subject matter in Hebrews, shown at the beginning of the book, is about that coming day when God’s appointed “heir of all things” holds the sceptre and rules the earth with “a rod of iron” (*cf.* Ps. 2:9; Rev. 2:26, 27).

Through the arrangement of these seven Messianic quotations (a number showing *the completion of that which is in view*), “heirship” is immediately connected not only with *Sonship* but with *a firstborn status* as well. It is *God’s Firstborn Son*, the appointed “heir of all things,” Whom the Father will one day “again bring into” the inhabited world (vv. 5, 6).

These seven Messianic quotations are introduced in verse five and begin with a quotation from Ps. 2:7:

“Thou art my Son; this day have I begotten thee.”

This verse is quoted three times in the New Testament — once in Acts (13:33) and twice in Hebrews (1:5; 5:5). And in all three passages, as in Ps. 2:7, the verse is used in *Messianic settings*.

The reference in each of the four appearances of the verse is to the Father bringing the Son forth at the time He reigns, not at the time of His incarnation and birth.

The Son's incarnation and birth was an absolute necessity if the Son was to be *God's Firstborn*, allowing the Son to hold the sceptre as the Father's appointed "heir of all things." But *a bringing forth at the time Christ reigns* is, contextually, seen in Ps. 2:7, along with the three places this passage is quoted in the New Testament.

Note how all of this is set forth in the second Psalm. Though a present application to Ps. 2:1-3 is made in Acts 4:25ff, the reference in these verses is more specifically to events at the end of Man's Day, progressing into the Messianic Era.

The Gentile nations are seen at this time "raging" and imagining "a vain thing." They are seen allied "together, against the Lord, and against his anointed" (vv. 1, 2).

And in their alliance, they are seen saying:

"Let us break their chains...and throw off their fetters [the restraining and authoritative power of the Father and Son in v. 2]" (v. 3, NIV).

This is a picture of Gentile world power in a day not far removed from the present day.

The Gentile nations at that time will be as "the sea" in Jonah, *raging*; they will imagine that *which will NOT be possible — to continue holding the sceptre under the present world ruler, Satan* (cf. Dan. 10:13-20; Rev. 13:2); and, under Satan's leadership, they will *counsel together concerning how they can stay God's hand and prevent the fulfillment of that foretold by the prophets centuries earlier.*

But ALL will be in vain. The One seated in the heavens will *laugh* (a contemptible laughter in the Hebrew text), He will *scoff* at the puny efforts of the Gentile powers, and He will then *speak* to them in His anger and wrath (vv. 4, 5).

This will be followed by that seen in the continuing text of Psalm chapter two:

“Yet have I set my king upon my holy hill [or, ‘holy mountain’ (Heb., *har*, ‘mountain’)] of Zion.

I will declare the decree: the Lord hath said unto me, Thou art my Son; this day have I begotten thee.

Ask of me, and I will give thee the heathen [‘the Gentiles’] for thine inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession.

Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter’s vessel” (vv. 6-9).

The Gentile nations under Satan, in the end time, will be unable to do any more than Satan found that he could do when he sought to elevate his throne at a time in the distant past (Isa. 14:12-17; *cf.* Ezek. 28:14-19).

Satan’s prior efforts proved utterly futile, resulting in God’s wrath; and EXACTLY the same thing will result from the actions of the Gentile nations at the end of Man’s Day.

Satan, seeking to elevate his throne, found himself disqualified to continue holding his appointed position, and his kingdom was reduced to a ruin (Gen. 1:2a).

And, at a time yet future, with the Times of the Gentiles brought to an end, the Gentile nations will find themselves no longer able to hold their appointed positions. Their allotted time within Man’s Day will be over, and their power and kingdom will be reduced to a ruin (Dan. 2:34, 35, 44, 45; Joel 3:9-21; Rev. 19:11-21; *cf.* Isa. 2:1-5).

Now, note the context on either side of Ps. 2:7.

Immediately before (v. 6), God is seen placing *His King* upon His “holy hill [‘holy mountain’] of Zion”; and immediately afterward (vv. 8, 9), God is seen referring to *the King’s inheritance and possession*.

And the thought of the Father begetting the Son between these two Messianic statements is a reference to God bringing His Son forth to reign over “the kingdom of the world,” which will have become “the kingdom of our Lord, and of His Christ” (Rev. 11:15, NASB), with the government centered in Jerusalem (Joel 2:32; 3:21; Zech. 14:9-21).

Psalm 2:7, in each verse of the Greek text (the Septuagint translation of the O.T., the Greek text of the N.T.), the word “be-

gotten” appears in the perfect tense, pointing to *action completed in past time, with the results of that action continuing into the present and existing in a finished state.*

In Acts 13:33, the verse is used in *an anticipatory respect*, looking out ahead to that day when Christ comes into possession of “the sure mercies [*lit.*, ‘the holy things’] of David [which are regal]” (v. 34).

Hebrews 1:5, as previously seen, is set at the beginning of seven Messianic quotations from the Old Testament. And beginning the seven Messianic quotations, this verse, *anticipating Christ’s future position and work*, sets the stage for and introduces the remaining six.

And in Heb. 5:5, the verse *anticipates Christ one day exercising the Melchizedek priesthood — as the Great King-Priest in Jerusalem* (vv. 5-10; cf. Gen. 14:17-20; Ps. 110:1-4).

Again, the perfect tense used in the Greek text of all four references shows *action completed during past time and existing during present time in that completed state*, though all of that seen in the verse *occurs yet future*. This is just one of the numerous ways Scripture shows the certainty of the occurrence of this future event — stating future events as if they had already occurred.

God one day placing His Son on His “holy hill [‘holy mountain’] of Zion,” shown by the perfect tense used in Scripture, is just as sure as if it had already occurred. And history, whether seen in this respect or in any other respect, simply cannot be changed.

This is that which Scripture reveals concerning *God’s Firstborn Son, Jesus*, the One Who, in a coming day, will bring to pass that which continually eludes man today — *effecting peace in a troubled world, particularly in a troubled Middle East, a peace which can only follow that seen in Ps. 2:1-6.*

(For additional information along the preceding lines, refer to the author’s books, *Middle East Peace — How? When?* and *Never Again! or Yes, Again!*)

2

Israel

GOD'S ONLY CREATED AND ADOPTED FIRSTBORN SON

“And thou shalt say unto Pharaoh, Thus saith the Lord, Israel is my son, even my firstborn:

And I say unto thee, Let my son go, that he may serve me: and if thou refuse to let him go, I will slay thy son, even thy firstborn (Ex. 4:22, 23).

But now thus saith the Lord that created thee, O Jacob, and he that formed thee, O Israel, Fear not: for I have redeemed thee, I have called thee by thy name; thou art mine (Isa. 43:1).

Who are Israelites; to whom pertaineth the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service of God, and the promises” (Rom. 9:4).

The nation of Israel is God’s “son” because of *CREATION*. God performed a *special creative act* in the person of *Jacob, the natural man* (Isa. 43:1).

And, because this creation had to do with *the natural man and could be passed on from Father to son*, not only Jacob but his descendants through his twelve sons — forming the nation of Israel — are viewed in Scripture as God’s “son.”

Then, the nation of Israel is *MORE than* just God’s “son.” This nation, because of a *subsequent ADOPTION*, is *God’s firstborn son*.

That is, God, at a *time following* His creative activity surrounding Jacob and a nation emanating from his loins, *adopted this nation*, placing the nation of Israel in the position of *His firstborn son* (Ex. 4:22, 23).

Note Isa. 43:5-7 relative to both *creation* and *sonship*:

"Fear not: for I am with thee: I will bring thy seed [the seed of Jacob in v. 1] from the east, and gather thee from the west;

I will say to the north, Give up; and to the south, Keep not back: bring my sons from far, and my daughters from the ends of the earth;

Even every one that is called by my name: I have created him for my glory, I have formed him; yea, I have made him."

The reference is to a future regathering of the Israelites from a worldwide dispersion. The Israelites, in connection with *creation* mentioned in verses one and seven, are referred to as God's "sons," with "daughters" used contextually within this same thought — *i.e.*, within the thought of *creation* resulting in "sonship."

(With respect to both males and females viewed in relation to *sonship*, Jacob's descendants, the nation as a whole, is spoken of in the sense of *a son*, or in the sense of *sons* when referring to individuals comprising the nation [which would include both males and females].

For example, the expression used in verses such as Ex. 1:1, 7, 9 or Acts 5:21; 7:23, 37 to describe all those comprising the nation [both males and females] is "the sons of Israel" [NASB].

The Hebrew word translated "sons" in Exodus 1:1, 7, 9 and other similar O.T. passages is *ben*, and the Greek word translated "sons" in Acts 5:21; 7:23, 37 and other similar N.T. passages is *huios*. These respectively are the Hebrew and Greek words for "son," and both should be so understood and translated.

Thus, the use of "daughters" in connection with "sons" in a verse such as Isa. 43:6 must be understood in the preceding respect. It must be understood in a similar respect to the way God's wife [Israel] is also viewed — as *God's son*.

And the same would hold true relative to how Christ's wife is to be viewed yet future. His wife [to be comprised of Christians] will also be viewed the same way Israel, the wife of Jehovah, is presently viewed — as *God's son*.

The way in which the government in God's kingdom was originally established, *ONLY "sons" could rule*. Then, in relation to man and the earth, two things are seen in this respect: *ONLY "firstborn sons" can rule; and there, as well, HAS to be a husband-wife relationship within this rule, with the wife, of necessity, also being seen as a firstborn son.*)

Isaiah 43:7 clearly states that every descendant of Jacob is himself *a special creation*, as Jacob is seen as *a special creation* back in verse one. This can be true because, as previously seen (*ref. Ch. I* in this book), God performed a special creation in “*Jacob*,” *the natural man* (*NOT “Israel,” the spiritual man*).

And because of this, God’s creation of Jacob can be passed on via procreation, making Jacob’s twelve sons and all their descendants special creations, separate from the Gentiles.

Thus, the fact remains that, *because of creation*, Jacob is seen as *a son of God*; and, *through procreation*, all of his descendants, individually, are seen as *sons of God*, with the nation as a whole seen collectively or corporately as *God’s son*.

And, following the adoption (preceding the Exodus under Moses), the nation would, from that time forward, be viewed as *God’s firstborn son* (Ex. 4:22, 23).

This entire thought of *creation and sonship, followed by adoption*, is what separates and sets apart both the Jewish people individually and the nation of Israel as a whole from all the Gentiles (individually and nationally).

Scripture makes a *SHARP distinction between Israel on the one hand and the Gentile nations on the other*.

The Jewish people comprise a separate and distinct nation which is *NOT* to be “reckoned among the nations” — the Gentile nations (*cf. Num. 23:9; Deut. 7:6; Amos 3:1, 2*).

The Government of the Earth

In keeping with this same line of Biblical teaching as it pertains to *regality*, Satan — positioned by God as the earth’s ruler in the beginning (Ezek. 28:14) — has ruled the earth, uninterrupted, since that time. And Satan’s rule has always been *under God*, though *a rebel ruler under God following his fall*.

Throughout Man’s Day, Satan has carried out this rule through the nations. And he accomplishes this rule through a complete gradation of powers and authorities within his kingdom — *i.e.*, through angelic rulers (fallen angels), to whom power and authority has been delegated.

Particular angels have been placed over particular nations.

Then, under each of these angelic princes placed over nations, there are numerous other angels assigned to occupy lesser positions of power and authority.

This is the manner in which the government under Satan, in the heavens, is revealed to presently exist; and this established government — with respect to individual nations, angelic princes, and lesser angelic rulers assigned under these princes — could only correspond to a complete gradation of powers and authorities within the various nations on earth (Dan. 10:13-20; cf. Eph. 3:10; 6:12).

1) An Exception

However, there is *ONE* exception to Satan's rule in this respect.

In Satan's kingdom, *there is NO angelic prince and there are NO lesser angelic rulers holding positions of regal power and authority over Israel. Israel is a separate and distinct nation, God's firstborn son, and is NOT to be "reckoned among the nations"* (Num. 23:9).

The angelic prince which exercises this type power and authority over Israel during Man's Day is revealed to be *Michael*, an angel not associated with Satan's fall and his kingdom.

And *angelic rulers under Michael* would also, of necessity, be outside of Satan's domain and rule (Dan. 10:21).

Note Rev. 12:1ff in this respect.

Israel and the nations are in view on the one hand (vv. 1-6), and *Michael and his angels, along with Satan and his angels*, are in view on the other (vv. 7-9), with *EVERYTHING* being *REGAL* in nature.

(In the preceding gradation of powers and authorities, the angelic rulers — whether those consisting of Satan and his angels, or of Michael and his angels — exercise power and authority from *a heavenly sphere*. All hold their positions *under God* [though Satan and his angels are rebel rulers], and all rule *through* those whom God has placed in positions of power and authority on the earth.

"The heavens do rule" in this respect. This rule begins with *God* [in the heavens] and progresses through *angels* [also in the heavens] to *man* [on the earth]. "There is no power but of God," and "the powers that be [whether in the heavens, or on the earth] are ordained of God" [cf. Dan. 4:17-26; Rom. 13:1, 2].

During the present day and time, angels — both within and without Satan’s kingdom — continue to hold previously appointed regal positions [appointed by God] in the preceding respect.

Note that even those represented by the twenty-four elders in Rev. 4, 5 remain crowned and retain a regal connection with God’s throne *UNTIL* a particular, revealed time yet future. And that future time is associated with events immediately following the present dispensation, events occurring near the conclusion of Man’s Day, anticipating Christ and His co-heirs taking the kingdom.

The things reiterated in the preceding several paragraphs form fundamental, foundational Biblical teachings pertaining to the government of the earth — past, present, and future. And possessing a proper understanding of the government of the earth forms a fundamental, foundational basis for properly understanding numerous related things pertaining to Israel and the nations seen occurring in the world today.

For additional information on the present and future world kingdoms [“present” under Satan, “future” under Christ], refer to the author’s books, *The Most High Ruleth* and *Message in the Gospels, Acts, Epistles*.

For additional information on the twenty-four elders in Rev. 4, refer to Ch. IV, “Crowns Relinquished in the Lord’s Day,” in the author’s book, *The Rapture and Beyond*.)

Knowing the things surrounding how the present kingdom on this earth is established — which includes not only the nations but Israel as well — *is a major KEY to seeing and understanding the central and primary reason for Satan’s continuous hatred of the Jewish people down through the years.*

And this is something which has resulted in or has had a direct bearing upon *ALL of the anti-Semitism over centuries of time, as well as the present unrest in the Middle East.*

Note the origin and direct cause of this unrest:

1. Two separate and individual creations (*Israel and the Gentile nations*) occupying territory in the Middle East.
2. The reason for Israel’s existence in relation to God’s plans and purposes surrounding this one province [the earth] in His kingdom.

3. The present governing powers ruling from the heavens through *Israel* and *the nations* — as previously seen, *Michael and his angels* on the one hand, *Satan and his angels* on the other.

The emanating point for *ALL anti-Semitism is Satan himself*, and he carries out his numerous anti-Semitic endeavors principally through the Gentile nations, over which he and his angels rule (also through numerous Christians as well, resulting from Biblical ignorance, allowing for this type deception).

And the basic reason for all anti-Semitism is centered in *Israel's identity and position among the nations* — *God's firstborn son, the one existing apart from Satan's present governmental rule, the one not only possessing the RIGHT to hold the sceptre, but the one who one day WILL hold the sceptre.*

Is it any wonder that Israel's presence in the midst of Gentile nations in the Middle East has always caused trouble? Because of existing conditions — conditions which exist among both the Jewish people and those comprising the Gentile nations — Israel could be viewed as a powder keg with a short fuse in the midst of the nations, though the converse of that should be true (and one day will be true).

Then, to further complicate the matter as it exists today, the nations across North Africa, extending into the Middle East, are made up mainly of the descendants of Ishmael, Israel's half-brother.

Peace existed in Abraham's tent so long as Ishmael was in the tent alone. But when Isaac was born fourteen years later, trouble began (*cf. Gen. 16:16-17:1, 18; 21:1ff*).

Both of Abraham's sons simply COULD NOT dwell in the tent together; NOR can their descendants do so today.

This has been tried down through the centuries, *ALWAYS resulting in EXACTLY the SAME problem which began in Abraham's tent 4,000 years ago* — resulting in trouble which has not only continued into modern times but trouble which will continue until a certain revealed time yet future.

The trouble exists because of *Israel's identity in relation to the Arabic nations* (*cf. Gen. 17:18-21; 21:9, 10*), and this trouble will continue to exist *UNTIL that time seen in Gen. 25:17* — the time of that

foreshadowed by the death of Ishmael — which, contextually, is millennial in its scope of fulfillment.

ONLY in that coming day, following God restoring Israel as His wife (Gen. 25:1ff), will the Ishmaelites cease to exist as they are presently seen in Gen. 16:12 — “a wild ass of a man,” whose hand is “against every man” (literal rendering from the Hebrew text).

ONLY in that coming day will there be peace in Abraham’s tent, with the Ishmaelites and all the other Gentile nations being blessed *through dwelling in Abraham’s tent*.

(To understand the expression, *Abraham’s tent*, as previously used, refer to comments on “the tents of Shem” in the next section of this chapter.

Also, for additional information on the Arabic nations in the preceding respect, refer to the author’s book, *Israel — from Death to Life*, Chapter II, “Middle East Peace — How, When?”)

2) *Israel’s Position Among the Nations*

Note Rom. 9:4 with respect to Israel and the nations:

“Who are Israelites; to whom pertaineth the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service of God, and the promises.”

God’s “adoption” of Israel is mentioned first, *which necessitated a PRIOR sonship* standing, for *ONLY sons* are in a position to be placed as *firstborn sons* through adoption.

Then “the glory” is mentioned, along with “the covenants,” “the giving of the law,” “the service of God,” and “the promises.”

All of these together are *REGAL in nature and have to do with God’s firstborn son, a land, and a theocracy*.

In relation to any of the six things mentioned in Rom. 9:4, *ALL of the Gentile nations find themselves in EXACTLY the SAME alienated position*.

NO Gentile nation can qualify to lay claim to anything in this list, for there is *NO special creation* among any of the Gentile nations, allowing *sonship* and *a subsequent adoption* to exist.

The *ONLY* way that any Gentile nation can have any type association with the things listed in this verse is seen in Gen. 9:25-27.

And this section of Scripture, early in Genesis, *shows the place which God has decreed that the Gentiles occupy in relation to Israel*, once this nation, through Jacob and his progeny, had been called into existence.

In Genesis chapter nine, of the three sons of Noah, *Shem was the ONLY one* revealed to have a God (v. 26). And if either of the other two sons (Ham or Japheth), alienated from God in this respect, were to receive spiritual blessings, they would have had to “dwell in the tents of Shem” (v. 27).

That is to say, they would have had to come to the one in possession of a God and spiritual blessings. They would have had to come to and ally themselves with Shem.

And that is *EXACTLY* the position in which *Israel and the Gentile nations* have found themselves throughout millenniums of time, find themselves today, and will always find themselves.

Of all the nations on earth, *Israel, the nation descending from Shem through Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, is the ONLY nation having a God*; and the God Whom Israel possesses has decreed that *ALL spiritual blessings are to flow through the nation which He has singled out as His firstborn son*.

Thus, for *the Gentiles* to be blessed — today, or at any time yet future — they would/will have to do the same thing seen among Noah's sons in Gen. 9:25-27.

They would/will have to come to the one in possession of a God and in possession of spiritual blessings. They would/will have to come to and ally themselves with ISRAEL.

(Note that “the God...of the Gentiles” in Rom. 3:29, 30 is a reference to *saved Gentiles, not to Gentile nations* [cf. Rom. 1:16; 2:5-16; Gal. 1:11-16; 2:2-15; II Tim. 1:11]. And saved individuals would have a God through their Jewish Saviour.

The nations possess *gods*, but, apart from Israel and the Saviour Who came through Israel, *the gods of the nations* are clearly stated in Scripture to be “idols” [*lit., “nothing”* compared to the one true and living God (Ps. 96:5; cf. Eph. 2:11-13)].)

Relative to spiritual blessings flowing through Israel to the nations, as God intended when He called Israel into existence,

exactly the opposite is happening today.

Viewing matters from the vantage point of *Israel's* present condition — in a state of disobedience and unbelief — the Jewish people fail to understand their God-ordained position among the Gentile nations, that of God's firstborn son.

And viewing matters from the standpoint of *the Gentile nations* — nations alienated from God and His revelation to man (something which has come through Israel) — *the nations have NO concept at all of Israel's present condition; NOR do the nations have any concept of Israel's present position in relation to them, i.e., Israel occupying the position of God's firstborn son among the nations.*

Then, the vast majority of *Christians* in the world today — because of their ignorance of Biblical teachings surrounding Israel and the nations — find themselves in a position, as it pertains to the true knowledge of God and world affairs, which is little different than that seen among Israel and the nations. The truth of the matter eludes them as well.

God though will shortly bring an end to and rectify the entire matter. The present dispensation has almost run its course. And when the present dispensation ends, God will resume and complete seven unfulfilled years in His dealings with Israel during Man's Day, bringing an end to Man's Day and the Times of the Gentiles.

That is to say, God will shortly once again step into the affairs of the human race, remove the Church, and then complete seven unfulfilled years of the prior dispensation.

And, having brought matters to completion in this respect, God will have finished His 6,000-year period of work comprising Man's Day, beginning at that time a 1,000-year period (a Sabbath rest following six days of work) in which He and His co-heirs will completely straighten out all the things which man had previously only made worse through his vain efforts to improve or to rectify matters.

In this respect, keep in mind and understand two things:

- 1) The present existing situation in the Middle East, apart from Messiah.
- 2) That which is about to exist, when Messiah is once again present.

Israel and the Nations Today

In relation to that clearly taught in Scripture, a situation exists in the Middle East today which should, on the one hand, *NOT exist*, but, on the other hand, *HAS to exist*.

And that situation concerns a remnant of Jews leaving their place among the Gentile nations, to which the Jewish people in time past had been driven, and returning to the land covenanted to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.

In this respect, according to the type in Num. 35:15-34 and that which has occurred in the antitype, the slayer has returned to "the land of his possession" before it was time for him to return. He has returned before "the death of the high priest," something expressively forbidden by Scripture.

"Israel" is *the slayer*. The nation is *guilty of blood*, the blood of their Messiah (Acts 2:23, 36; 3:14, 15; 7:52), and is presently *unclean* through contact with the dead body of their Messiah.

And Scripture is quite clear that *cleansing CANNOT occur until* the third or seventh day, with Israel *presently* living during the second or sixth day (Num. 19:11-22).

The slayer *CANNOT return until* the antitype of that seen in "the death of the high priest." *ONLY THEN can the slayer be allowed to return to the land, with atonement subsequently provided* (the word translated "satisfaction" [KJV], "ransom" [NASB] in vv. 31, 32 is a cognate form of the Hebrew word for *atonement*).

"Christ" is *the High Priest* in view in the antitype. And the termination of His present ministry in the sanctuary is that seen through the termination of the high priest's ministry (occurring through death in the type).

Christ's present ministry is patterned after the order of Aaron, and this ministry will one day end, which will be the antitype of that seen through the end of the high priest's ministry in Num. 35:25, 28, 32.

ONLY THEN can the slayer be allowed to return to the nation's land; *ONLY THEN* can Christ come forth as the Great King-Priest after the order of Melchizedek; and *ONLY THEN* can cleansing be provided for the slayer.

God uprooted Israel from her land and drove His people out among the nations because of unbelief and disobedience. And the reason for the Jewish people being driven out in this manner was *to effect repentance, resulting in belief and obedience.*

In short, the Jewish people were to remain scattered among the Gentile nations and suffer at the hands of the Gentiles, under Satan, *UNTIL such a time as repentance was effected.*

Thus, in the light of the current alignment of nations in the Middle East, one central question emerges:

What is the slayer doing back in the nation's land — removed from numerous Gentile lands where the Jewish people were scattered — *both before repentance and before Christ completes His high priestly ministry in the sanctuary?*

That question, of course, can have only *ONE answer.*

God, in His sovereign control of all things *has ALLOWED the slayer to return, for a revealed purpose.* God has *allowed* the slayer to return in order to effect the fulfillment of end-time Biblical prophecy, for, according to prophecy, *a nation MUST exist in the Middle East during the latter days.*

But God drove the Jewish people out among the Gentile nations to effect repentance, and *He has NOT changed His mind concerning where He has decreed that His people will be residing when repentance occurs.*

This ALONE should tell a person that the present remnant in the land will have to be uprooted and driven back out among the nations — something which can NEVER occur once God restores the Jewish people in accord with His numerous promises (cf. Deut. 30:1-5; Ezek. 36:24-28; 37:11-28; 39:25-29; Joel 3:1-21).

(For additional information on the preceding, refer to Appendix II, "The Death of the High Priest," in the author's book, *The Time of the End.*

For other information pertaining to problems surrounding a Jewish nation existing in the land today, refer to the author's books, *Never Again! or Yes, Again!* and *End of the Days.*)

An unrepentant and unbelieving remnant is back in the land today to set the stage for that which is about to occur.

God is about to remove the Church and once again turn back to His people, Israel, dealing with the Jewish people during Daniel's

unfulfilled Seventieth Week, bringing them *to the end of themselves and, consequently, to the place of repentance.*

ONLY THEN can God deal with them in relation to the Old Testament prophecies surrounding the nation's restoration.

(Note that to associate the present return of a remnant — now some 6,000,000 strong, forming the present nation of Israel — with God's promise through the prophets that He would one day restore His people to their land is *an oxymoron.*

That is to say, it is a contradictory statement. And God simply does not do things in a contradictory manner. Things of this nature are left for man.

God cannot presently restore His people to their land in accord with that which the prophets have foretold, for He has made matters very clear in His Word concerning both *the time* of Israel's return and *the existing conditions* surrounding the nation's return.

And NEITHER presently exists — “the time” [the termination of Christ's present ministry in the sanctuary has NOT occurred], or “the conditions” [according to the prophets, Israel will repent and return in belief [with raised O.T. saints], NOT in their present unrepentant and unbelieving state].

Thus, the present existence of the nation of Israel in the Middle East can have *NOTHING* to do with God's promise through the prophets to one day remove the Jewish people from the nations into which He has driven them and place them back in their land.

And that should be simple enough for anyone to understand, for, if the present restoration is a fulfillment of God's promise of restoration — which has occurred prior to repentance and belief — God would be acting *contrary* to that which He has revealed in His Word — *an impossibility.*)

Israel and the Nations Yet Future

Israel in the Middle East today is as Jonah on board the ship in the Book of Jonah.

Jonah was *out of place* on the ship, *fleeing* from the Lord in a disobedient and unrepentant state, and *asleep* down in the hold of the ship (1:1-3, 5).

And Israel is *out of place* in the land today, *fleeing* from the Lord in their disobedient and unrepentant state, and *asleep* in relation to the nation's calling.

As Jonah sought to flee from the Lord's presence, a great storm arose on the sea, *of a magnitude which put all those on the ship in danger, producing conditions completely beyond their control* (1:4).

And, because of Israel's presence in the land, in the antitype, *this is EXACTLY where matters are headed in the Middle East — the Gentile nations ultimately finding themselves in a genocidal turmoil, of a nature completely beyond their control.*

The "sea" carries a dual metaphorical meaning in Scripture — *the Gentiles, and the place of death* (cf. I Cor. 10:1, 2; Col. 2:12; Rev. 13:1).

In the type, *Jonah*, at the height of the storm, was cast from the ship into the sea, and *repentance ultimately resulted.*

And, in the antitype, *Israel*, at the height of the turmoil about to occur, will be removed from the nation's land and be driven out among the Gentile nations, *where repentance will ultimately occur.*

It was *after two days, on the third day, in the sea*, that *Jonah repented.*

And it will be *after two days, on the third day, among the nations*, that *Israel will repent.*

(For details on the preceding, refer to the author's book on *Jonah*, titled, *O Sleeper! Arise, Call...!*)

Scripture clearly reveals numerous details about that coming day when *the entire nation of Israel will find herself among the nations* — both those presently in the land and those still scattered among the nations (cf. Joel 3:1-8; Matt. 24:15-31; Luke 21:20-24; Rev. 11:2).

Israel, in Gentile lands in that day, will be brought into such dire straits through Gentile persecution that the nation will have no recourse other than to turn to and call upon the God of their fathers. And God, according to His promise, *will then hear and bring to pass their long-awaited deliverance* (cf. Ex. 2:23, 24; 3:7-10; 4:20-23; II Chron. 7:14).

Why have the nations, under Satan, persecuted Israel throughout history? And why will the nations, under Satan, persecute Israel, yet future, during the Tribulation, to the extent seen in Scripture?

The answer is clear. It is *because of Israel's identity and destiny* — *God's firstborn son, destined to take the sceptre.*

God has used, presently uses, and will continue to use Satan's hatred of and efforts to destroy Israel through the Gentile nations to bring His firstborn son to *the place of repentance* (cf. Ex. 9:13-16; Rev. 17:15-18).

ONLY THEN — when Israel repents, with ensuing events — can God's son be restored and realize the rights of primogeniture, the rights of the firstborn, with the nations being blessed through Israel.

3

The Church

A CREATED SON AWAITING ADOPTION AS A FIRSTBORN SON

“For I reckon that the sufferings of this present time are not worthy to be compared with the glory which shall be revealed in us.

For the earnest expectation of the creature waiteth for the manifestation of the sons of God...

For we know that the whole creation groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now.

And not only they, but ourselves also, which have the first fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body” (Rom. 8:18, 19, 22, 23).

“Therefore if any man be in Christ, he is a new creature [*lit.*, ‘a new creation’]: old things are passed away; behold, all things are become new [*lit.*, ‘behold, he has become new,’ *i.e.*, he has become a new creation]” (II Cor. 5:17).

God presently has two firstborn Sons — *CHRIST* and *ISRAEL* (Ex. 4:22, 23; Heb. 1:6). And He is about to bring into existence a third firstborn son — *the CHURCH*.

Christians, because of *creation* (II Cor. 5:17), are seen in Scripture as “sons” of God, with *the adoption* yet future (Rom. 8:23).

And following *the adoption of Christians*, God will have a *third firstborn son* — a corporate or national son, as Israel (*cf.* Heb. 12:23; I Peter 2:9, 10).

ONLY THEN can God’s purpose for man’s creation, six millenniums prior to that time, be realized.

“Sonship” portends *rulership*; *ONLY “sons” can occupy REGAL positions in God’s kingdom.*

That’s the way it has always existed in the angelic realm, prior to, at the time of, and following man’s creation. And, once man had been brought into existence, for the REGAL purpose revealed at the time of his creation (Gen. 1:26-28), that’s the way it had to exist in the human realm as well.

In the human realm though, something additional was subsequently revealed. Not only *MUST* the one holding the sceptre *be a son*, but *he MUST also be a firstborn son*. Within the human realm, *ONLY firstborn sons can RULE in God’s kingdom.*

That’s why Scripture places such a heavy emphasis upon Christ not only occupying the position of *God’s Son* but that of *God’s Firstborn* as well.

Note how the author of Hebrews brings both to the forefront in the opening two Messianic quotations in chapter one:

“Thou art my Son...

And again, when he bringeth [*lit.*, ‘And when He shall again bring’] in the firstbegotten into the world [*lit.*, ‘the inhabited world’]...” (v. 5a, 6a; *cf.* II Sam. 7:14).

And even in a passage such as John 3:16, attention is called to God’s “only begotten Son,” a direct reference to not only *Christ’s Sonship* but to *His Firstborn status*.

Also note the title, “the Son of man” (a Messianic title), leading into verse sixteen (vv. 13, 14).

(The statements to this effect in both the opening verses of Hebrews and John chapter three should be expected.

The opening verses of Hebrews form the manner in which The Spirit of God arranged seven Messianic quotations, introducing *the subject matter in the book*.

The Holy Spirit, when He moved the author of this book to pen the recorded words, arranged these seven Messianic quotations from the Old Testament in such a manner that the One Whom God would ultimately place upon His “holy hill [‘holy mountain’] of Zion” [Ps. 2:6, 7] — *His only begotten Son, His firstborn Son* [Heb. 1:5, 6] — would be brought to the forefront at the beginning, *forming the foundational basis for ALL which follows.*

Then, John 3:13, 14, 16 [“the Son of man,” “only begotten Son”] forms a concluding part of Christ’s discourse to Nicodemus, where the subject matter had begun through referencing *the coming KINGDOM*, responding to Nicodemus’ question about *the SIGNS being manifested* [vv. 2-5].

“Signs” in Scripture ALWAYS have to do with two inseparable things: ISRAEL, and the KINGDOM [ref. the author’s article, “Signs, Wonders, Miracles”].

And it would be in complete keeping with the subject at hand to continue the opening thought portended by Nicodemus’ question surrounding “signs” into the latter part of the discourse, *which is EXACTLY what is seen.*)

Within the family relationship, Christians are referred to as both *children and sons*. And the two are closely related but are not really the same.

All Christians are referred to as “children” (Gk., *teknon*), but Scripture does not use “sons” (Gk., *huios*) in the same all-encompassing manner.

Though all Christians are “sons” because of *creation*, the New Testament usage of the Greek word *huios*, referring to Christians through this means, appears only within contexts *where REGALITY is seen and where Christians are seen ACTIVELY progressing toward the GOAL set before them*.

In this respect, the word is used relative to Christians in complete keeping with that which “sonship” portends — with *RULERSHIP*.

Children, Sons, Adoption

In the New Testament epistles (in both the Pauline and the general epistles), Christians are referred to as “children [*teknon*] of God” and “sons [*huios*] of God” about an equal number of times, though *teknon* and *huios* are not always translated correctly in the English text.

In a correct translation, Christians are referred to as “children of God” in Rom. 8:16, 17, 21; Phil. 2:15; I John 3:1, 2, 10; 5:2.

And they are referred to as “sons of God” in Rom. 8:14; Gal. 3:26; 4:6, 7; Heb. 12:5-8.

The word “sons” alone, rather than “sons of God,” is used in the last reference; but a Father-son relationship is in view throughout, showing God dealing with Christians as *His sons*.

In all three sections of Scripture where Christians are presently referred to as “sons,” *adoption* is also in view.

In both Romans and Galatians, in the Greek text, the word *huiiothesia* (the word for “adoption [‘son-placing’]”) appears in the context of the verses where Christians are referred to as “sons” (Rom. 8:15, 23; Gal. 4:5).

And in Hebrews chapter twelve, “adoption” is seen in the context as well, though from a different perspective. It is seen following the verses referring to Christians as “sons” (in vv. 16, 17, 23 — verses forming the heart of the fifth and final major warning in the book, dealing with Esau [the firstborn] forfeiting his birthright (vv. 16, 17), extending to “the church of the firstborn [*lit.*, ‘a called out group of firstborn sons’]” (v. 23).

In the antitype of the account pertaining to Esau forfeiting his birthright (vv. 16, 17), the thought of *adoption* would have to be brought into the picture (v. 23), *for Christians MUST not only be sons but they MUST be firstborn sons to realize the rights of the firstborn which Esau in the type forfeited.*

And *the ONLY way* Christians can be brought into this position is through *adoption* (as also seen elsewhere in Scripture where Christians are dealt with as *sons*).

(Aside from Rom. 8:15, 23; Gal. 4:5, *the ONLY other place* in the New Testament where the Greek word *huiiothesia* is used relative to Christians is in Eph. 1:5.

And the use of this word early in the Book of Ephesians is in complete keeping with how the subject matter of the book is introduced in this first chapter — a future “redemption” and “inheritance,” in connection with the “mystery” revealed to Paul [vv. 7, 9, 11, 14, *cf.* 3:1-6; 4:30], to be realized “in the dispensation of the fulness of times” [v. 10].

These interrelated things *are presently being made known*, “by [‘through’] the Church,” to “the principalities and powers in heavenly places” [Satan and his angels], *which accounts for the warning and instructions concerning the spiritual warfare at the close of the book* [3:9-11; 6:10ff].

As in any New Testament epistle, the central subject seen in Ephesians is *NOT salvation by grace*, though that subject is dealt with in the book. Rather, the central subject has to do with the things seen in the opening chapter, which introduce the things about to be developed

in the book — *things pertaining to Christians in relation to the coming kingdom of Christ.*

And if this epistle, or any New Testament epistle, is NOT studied after the manner in which the epistle is introduced, the central message of the epistle will invariably be lost to the reader.)

Thus Christians, though “sons” because of creation, are referred to as “sons” *ONLY in sections of Scripture where adoption is in view.*

Both *sonship* and *adoption* place matters within a *REGAL setting*; and Christians, in all three passages where adoption is dealt with, are seen *ACTIVELY moving toward the GOAL set before them — the adoption of sons, allowing those adopted to be brought into a realization of the rights of the firstborn.*

On the other hand, Scripture refers to Christians as “children” within a *REGAL setting as well, but NOT with respect to adoption.*

The preceding is *the main difference* concerning how the two words are used in the New Testament epistles.

It is SONS who are adopted, NOT children. And, accordingly, adoption is seen ONLY in connection with the former, NOT with the latter.

(In Rom. 8:16, 17, 21, the Greek word for “children” [*teknon*] is used in a context with the Greek word for “sons” [*huios*]. And an inheritance, an adoption, and a manifestation of sons are seen in the passage [with *huios* alone used relative to the latter two (v. 14)].

In Phil. 2:15, *teknon* appears in connection with present Christian activity, with a view to the coming day of Christ [v. 16].

And in I John 3:1, 2, 10; 5:2 the context shows the same thing as seen in Phil. 2:15, 16.

Teknon is used in these verses to depict present Christian activity, with a view to *the hope* set before Christians [cf. Titus 2:12, 13], *Christ’s future appearance*, with Christians shown as *overcomers* in that coming day.)

Thus, there is the central distinction between the way in which “children” and “sons” are used in the New Testament. Both are used in *REGAL settings*, with the latter used more specifically in connection with *the rights of the firstborn.*

BOTH can be used of Christians today; but, ONLY “sons” is used when adoption is in view.

Romans, Galatians, Hebrews

In the New Testament passages where Christians are presently called “sons” (Rom. 8:14; Gal. 3:26; 4:6, 7; Heb. 12:5-8), each passage presents a different facet of Biblical truth surrounding *sonship*.

In Romans, the central issue is *flesh and spirit*, with *adoption* in view; In Galatians, the central issue is “*the faith*” in relation to Law, with *adoption* in view; and in Hebrews the central issue is *God’s child-training of sons*, with *adoption* in view (ref. pp. 38, 39 in this ch.).

1) Romans Chapter Eight

“For if ye live after the flesh, ye shall die: but if ye through the Spirit do mortify the deeds of the body, ye shall live.

For as many as are led [*lit.*, ‘are being led’] by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God.

For ye have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear; but ye have received the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father” (vv. 13-15).

Chapters one through seven in the Book of Romans build into what could be considered an apex in chapter eight, which begins with “There is therefore...”

These opening words are based on that which has preceded, and they introduce that which is about to follow. And that which follows brings to the forefront teachings surrounding *flesh and spirit*, dealt with different ways in the preceding chapters.

All Christians possess two natures, “the old man [the man of flesh],” and “the new man [the man of spirit]” (Col. 3:9, 10). And the far-reaching ramifications of Christians (all Christians, none excluded) following one nature or the other is graphically stated in Rom. 8:13.

Christians following the fleshly nature, living after the flesh, *will die*; but, Christians following the leadership of the Spirit, putting to death “the deeds of the body,” putting to death that associated with the flesh, *will live*.

Life in this respect is then explained (vv. 14ff), with *death* simply being the absence of that seen in the explanation.

Those following the leadership of the Spirit are presently being looked upon and dealt with *as sons* (v. 14), and this is with a view to *a future adoption and manifestation of sons, with the sons corporately (as Israel) forming a firstborn son* (vv. 15-23).

But those following after and minding the works of the flesh (cf. vv. 5-8) can have *NO part* in these things. They are *NOT* presently being looked upon and dealt with as sons, and they will have *NO part* in the things seen in this chapter awaiting sons.

The preceding is the manner in which both *life* and *death* are used in this chapter. And the salvation or loss of the soul/life is in view, which is simply another way seen in Scripture to state the matter at hand (cf. Matt. 16:24-27; Heb. 10:35-39; I Peter 1:3-9).

Christians following after the things pertaining to their fleshly nature will lose their souls/lives; but Christians following the leadership of the Spirit, putting to death “the deeds of the body,” will realize the salvation of their souls/lives.

It is either one or the other for ALL Christians. NO middle ground exists (cf. Matt. 12:30; Luke 11:23; I John 3:6-9).

The salvation or loss of the soul has to do with *occupying or being denied a position with Christ in the coming kingdom, living or not living with Him in this respect*.

And, with “sonship” implying *rulership*, Romans chapter eight places the emphasis on *a present recognition of sons*, for a reason seen in the context: *a future manifestation of adopted sons*.

Both *life* and *death* are dealt with in the chapter, with both relating to the saved and covering the same time period, which can only be *millennial, NOT eternal*. If for no other reason (though there are other reasons), this time period would be evident from both I Cor. 15:25, 26 and Rev. 21:4, which specifically state that *death will NOT exist during the ages beyond the Messianic Era*.

Thus, *that portended by “death” in Rom. 8:13 CANNOT extend into these subsequent ages*.

And, *viewing the other side of the matter, NEITHER can that portended by “life” in this same verse be seen extending into these subsequent ages*.

Though “life” will exist during the eternal ages, “life” as it is seen in Rom. 8:13 MUST be understood within context, having to do with

the SAME time as “death,” with the Messianic Era.

(The Messianic Era will be the last 1,000 years of a septenary arrangement of 1,000-year periods, which are foreshadowed in the opening verses of Genesis through the use of six and seven days. Scripture deals at length with events during these 7,000 years but sparingly with events outside this septenary period.

During the Messianic Era, man's rule will be confined to this earth. The manifestation of sons during this time will have to do with bringing one province in God's kingdom back into the condition and use for which it was originally intended, with governmental power emanating from the Son's throne in the heavenly sphere of the kingdom [cf. Rev. 2:26, 27; 3:21].

The Son, with His co-heirs, will take 1,000 years to bring order out of disorder. Then, once order has been restored, the kingdom will be delivered back to the Father, that the Father might be “all in all [*lit.*, ‘all things in all of these things’ (I Cor. 15:24-28)].”

During the eternal ages beyond the Messianic Era, man's rule will emanate from “the throne of God and of the Lamb,” a throne from which universal rule will issue forth. And this throne will both rest upon a new earth and be the central governmental point in the universe.

Thus, the manifestation of sons at that time will no longer have to do with governmental affairs of the present earth but with an apparent restructuring of the government of the universe itself, possibly beginning with the new earth.)

As previously seen, though all Christians are “sons” (because of creation), God's dealings with Christians as “sons” is limited. In Rom. 8:14, God is seen dealing *only* with those Christians as “sons” who are being led by the Spirit and, as a result, are putting to death the deeds of the body.

And the reason why God limits dealing with Christians as His “sons” in this manner need not be stated in so many words. God's evident reasoning can be easily ascertained from *the context* and that which “sonship” implies — *rulership*.

It is *ONLY* those Christians presently allowing the Spirit to control their lives — *allowing child-training as sons*. — who will, in that coming day, *live, be adopted* (vv. 14-23), and *be among those manifested as sons* (forming God's firstborn son).

And it is with this high end in view that God only looks upon certain Christians with respect to *sonship* during the present time (v. 19; cf. Heb. 12:23).

Those Christians failing to govern their lives in this manner will *DIE*, and *there will be NO adoption or manifestation as sons for them*. And with this end in view, there would be *NO reason* for God to deal with these Christians as “sons” during the present time.

Thus, He deals with them *ONLY* as “children.”

2) Galatians Chapters Three and Four

“For ye are all the children [Gk., *huios*, ‘sons’] of God by faith in Christ Jesus...”

But when the fulness of the time was come, God sent forth his Son, made of a woman, made under the law.

To redeem them that were under the law, that we might receive the adoption of sons.

And because ye are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father.

Wherefore thou art no more a servant, but a son; and if a son, then an heir of God through Christ” (3:26; 4:4-7).

Christians are referred to as “sons” in three different verses in the Book of Galatians (3:26; 4:6, 7). And, as in the Book of Romans, references of this nature are used in a context having to do with *adoption* (4:5). It is simply the same picture once again, though from a different perspective.

A different facet of sonship and adoption is dealt with — how the Law, given through Moses, can have *absolutely NOTHING* to do with the matter at hand.

The inheritance was given to Abraham, by promise, four hundred and thirty years before the Law was given through Moses; and *the giving of the Law DIDN’T, it COULDN’T, bring about any type change to that previously promised to Abraham* (3:16-18).

Then, as in Romans, “faith” is brought to the forefront in that which is stated in Galatians.

The Law cannot be separated from faith, for the Law is God’s Word, and “faith” is simply *believing that which God has stated about*

a matter. In this respect, an Israelite keeping the Law would be acting by *faith* (cf. Deut. 5:33; 28:1-14; Matt. 19:16-21; Heb. 11:6).

"Faith" in connection with *the Law* though is NOT how "faith" is dealt with in this section of Galatians, preceding and leading into the reference to Christians as "sons" (3:19-25).

Rather, "the faith" is introduced (which is a means used by the N.T. writers to reference the Word of the Kingdom), and "the faith" (used in vv. 23, 25 ["faith" in both verses is articular in the Greek text]) is sharply contrasted with Law.

One has nothing to do with the other.

(Regarding "the faith," refer to the author's book, *From Acts to the Epistles*, Chapters X, XI.)

The picture presented in Galatians is that of individual "sons," forming part of God's national firstborn son (Israel, under Law), who become "new creations" *in Christ*.

And, through this means, they relinquish their national identity with Israel — no longer being associated with the things pertaining to Israel (in this case, *the Law*, or *the adoption relative to a present firstborn status*) — and become members of a new nation where there is no distinction between Jew and Gentile (3:28).

And, once they have become followers of "the faith," these individuals are looked upon as "sons" (because they are *new creations* "in Christ") and, along with all other Christians of like mind in this new nation (whether formerly Jew or Gentile), *await the adoption*.

Then, beyond the adoption will be the realization of an inheritance, *through Christ, Who is Abraham's Seed*, seen in Gal. 3:29 (cf. v. 16):

"And if ye be Christ's [*lit.*, 'And if ye are of Christ,' *i.e.*, among those belonging to Christ], then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise."

The promise given to Abraham was to be realized through both *an earthly and a heavenly seed*, as seen in Gen. 22:17, 18.

"Israel," the seed of Abraham through the nation's lineage

from Isaac and Jacob, will, following Israel's repentance, conversion, and restoration, realize *the earthly portion of the inheritance*.

And "the Church," the seed of Abraham through being "in Christ," will, following the adoption, realize *the heavenly portion of the inheritance*.

Thus, for a Jew to believe on the Lord Jesus Christ today and become a Christian (*a new creation "in Christ"*), relinquishing his national identity, is for that Jew to give up his association with *Israel's earthly calling* (having to do with *an earthly inheritance*) and come into possession of *a higher calling* (having to do with *a heavenly inheritance*).

(In the preceding respect, there is *absolutely NO* place in Christendom for distinctions to be made between saved Jews and saved Gentiles. *BOTH* are *new creations "in Christ,"* part of *the one new man*, wherein distinctions between those comprising this *new man* *CANNOT* exist [Gal. 3:26-29; Eph. 2:11-15; 3:1-6].

But in Christendom today, completely contrary to Scripture, certain individuals from both groups [from saved Jews, and from saved Gentiles] attempt to form distinctions between the two groups.

For example, there are congregations of saved Jews calling themselves "Messianic Jews" or "completed Jews" [both misnomers], distinguishing themselves from saved Gentiles.

And there are groups comprised of saved Gentiles who look askance at saved Jews, somewhat forcing saved Jews to meet together in separate places, often referred to as "Messianic congregations," distinguishing themselves from saved Gentiles.

ALL of this — *by saved Jews or by saved Gentiles* — *forms no more than vain attempts to build up a middle wall which has been broken down by Christ Himself* [Eph. 2:14].

And, as well, there is *absolutely NO* place in Christendom for *the new creation "in Christ"* to go back to the old creation in Jacob [*cf.* Isa. 43:1, 7; II Cor. 5:17] and attempt to bring things from this old creation over into the new [*cf.* Matt. 9:16, 17].

God has set Israel aside for a dispensation; and He is, today, dealing with *the one new man "in Christ," NOT with Israel*. And for this *new man* to go back to Israel [a nation set aside] and bring things having to do with this nation over into things having to do with *the one new man* [the Law, forms, ceremonies, etc.] is not only completely out of place but it

serves to break down distinctions which God established between the two creations, *adding to an already existing confusion.*)

3) Hebrews Chapter Twelve

“And ye have forgotten the exhortation which speaketh unto you as unto children [Gk., *huios*, ‘sons’], My son, despise not thou the chastening of the Lord, nor faint when thou art rebuked of him:

For whom the Lord loveth he chasteneth, and scourgeth every son whom he receiveth.

If ye endure chastening, God dealeth with you as with sons; for what son is he whom the Father chasteneth not?

But if ye be without chastisement, whereof all are partakers, then are ye bastards and not sons...

Lest there be any fornicator, or profane person, as Esau, who for one morsel of meat sold his birthright.

For ye know how that afterward, when he would have inherited the blessing, he was rejected: for he found no place of repentance, though he sought it carefully with tears” (vv. 5-8, 16, 17).

The passage in Heb. 12:5-8 refers to God’s training of those Christians whom He deals with today as “sons.” The words “chastening” (vv. 5, 7), “chasteneth” (vv. 6, 7), or “chastisement” (v. 8) are translations of the Greek words *paideia* or *paideuo*, which are noun and verb forms of the same word. These words refer to “instruction” or “training,” and the translation should reflect this fact.

Paideia and *paideuo* are cognate forms of the word *paidion*, which refers to “a very young child” (used this way in Matt. 18:2-5; 19:13, 14).

In this respect, the words *paideia* and *paideuo* in Heb. 12:5-8 refer to *God’s child-training for those whom He presently views as His “sons.”* And this child-training would, of necessity, take the exact form of that seen in Matt. 18:2-5:

“Except ye be converted, and become as little children [*paidion*], ye shall not enter into the kingdom of the heavens” (v. 3b).

Then note Heb. 12:8. *ALL whom God refers to and deals with as “sons” partake of this training.*

And, contrariwise, ALL Christians NOT partaking of this training are NOT being dealt with as “sons” after this manner.

And these Christians are referred to in the text by the Greek word *nothoi* (translated “bastards,” KJV).

The thought, through the use of *nothoi*, has to do with “illegitimate sons,” i.e., *Christians (though “sons” because of creation) not having a part in God’s child-training of His “sons” — through rejection, unfaithfulness, etc. — and thus CANNOT be His “sons” (with respect to that in view, i.e., they CANNOT be His “sons” with respect to child-training; rather, they are nothoi).*

(Note that saved-unsaved issues are often dealt with through use of *nothoi* in v. 8. *However, as seen, that is NOT at all the subject at hand.*

Then note *the end result* of a misuse of this verse [actually, the whole passage] in the preceding manner.

The simple message of salvation by grace *is corrupted* on the one hand, and the true subject dealt with in the passage, reflecting back on the book as a whole, *is done away with* on the other hand.)

Then *the adoption* awaiting Christians is seen in a type-anti-type structure in the continuing verses, following the section on child-training as sons (vv. 16, 17), forming the last of the five major warnings in this book.

God will possess a third firstborn son *ONLY after God’s present child-training of His sons is brought to completion, followed by the adoption.*

And this will occur ONLY after the present dispensation has run its course and Christians have been removed from the earth into the heavens (the rapture).

This will be followed by God’s final dealings with Israel and the nations during Man’s Day (events of the seven-year Tribulation).

ONLY THEN can the Messianic Era be ushered in, bringing to pass the GOAL seen throughout all prophetic Scripture.

Appendix I

Adoption, Redemption of the Body

A THIRD FIRSTBORN SON, CLOTHED IN REGAL GARMENTS

“The adoption” is spoken of in Rom. 8:23 as being synonymous with “the redemption of our body”:

“...waiting our adoption, [namely] the ransoming of our body”
(Lenski).

“...patiently awaiting son-placing, the redemption of our body”
(Wuest).

And since “the adoption” and “the redemption of our body” are synonymous in this respect, the matter cannot possibly be a reference to that which will occur at the time of the resurrection and rapture at the end of the present dispensation, as commonly taught.

If the redemption of the body were to occur at this time, all Christians would be adopted into a firstborn status. And this would be *completely contrary* to any Scriptural teaching surrounding adoption, for “sons” alone [those Christians presently allowing God, through His Spirit, to *child-train them as “sons,”* as seen in Rom. 8:14; Gal. 3:26; 4:6, 7; Heb. 12:5-8], not children, find themselves in a position to be adopted [*ref.* pp. 29-38 of this book].

If the redemption of the body/adoption *does NOT occur* at the time of the resurrection and rapture, *then WHEN does it occur?*

And exactly WHAT is involved in this future redemption/adoption?

Romans 8:14-23 connects this future *redemption/adoption* with being “glorified together” with Christ and with “the manifestation of the sons of God.”

Then, in this same respect, note how these things have been put together in Phil. 3:20, 21:

“For our conversation [Gk. *politeuma*, ‘commonwealth,’ ‘political sphere of activity’] is in heaven [‘heavens’]; from whence also we look for the Saviour, the Lord Jesus Christ:

Who shall change our vile body [*lit.*, ‘our body of humiliation’], that it may be fashioned like unto his glorious body [*lit.*, ‘His body of glory’], according to the working whereby he is able even to subdue all things unto himself.”

The thought from the preceding two verses has to do with the Christians’ *future REGAL position* in the heavens, following Christ’s return; and it has to do with occupying this position in a “body of glory,” like unto the body presently possessed by Christ, not in the “body of humiliation” presently possessed by Christians.

There was a time when this same *humiliation* presently seen in connection with a Christian’s body was also seen in connection with Christ’s body.

Note the quotation from Isa. 53:7, 8 in Acts 8:32, 33:

“...He was led as a sheep to the slaughter; and like a lamb dumb before his shearer, so opened he not his mouth:

In his humiliation his judgment was taken away: and who shall declare his generation? For his life is taken from the earth.”

(The Greek word translated “humiliation” in Acts 8:33 [*ta-peinosis*] is the same word translated “vile” in Phil. 3:21 [also in the Septuagint translation of Isa. 53:8]. “Humiliation,” as in Acts 8:33, is *the correct* translation of the word.)

The preceding reference from Acts has to do with the events surrounding Calvary. Christ, following His being stripped of His garments and arrayed as a mock King, was again stripped of His garments and impaled on a cross.

Reference is made in all four gospels to His garments being

removed prior to the crucifixion (Matt. 27:35; Mark 15:24; Luke 23:34; John 19:23, 24). Christ was lifted up in this manner, after His garments had been removed (except for perhaps an inner tunic), *exposing His uncovered flesh for all to see* (Ps. 22:16-18).

The time of the humiliation spoken of in the text was the time when two things occurred:

- 1) *His judgment* was “taken away.”
- 2) *His life* was “taken from the earth [*i.e.*, He was cut off from the land of the living].”

The former could only have had to do with *REGALITY* and the latter with *His DEATH*.

In the Likeness of...

Christ had come over three decades prior to the events surrounding Calvary as “King of the Jews,” “in the likeness of [or, ‘in the resemblance of’] sinful flesh” (Matt. 2:2; Rom. 8:3).

And upon the cross, this body of flesh was *exposed for all to behold*. It was a body which, in all outward appearance, was *like* that which man presently possesses. It was a body which bore *a likeness* to that of all other men in their bodies of sinful flesh and, consequently, a body connected with *humiliation*.

To properly understand that which was involved in relation to Christ’s body at the time of His first coming and in relation to man’s body both prior to and following that time, one must go back to the fall and see exactly what occurred in relation to Adam’s body at the time he partook of the forbidden fruit.

When man sinned in the garden in Eden, the complete being of man — spirit, soul, and body — became in a fallen state. God had commanded Adam concerning the fruit of *the tree of the knowledge of good and evil*, “thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die” (Gen. 2:17).

After Satan had deceived Eve into eating of the fruit of this tree, she then “gave also unto her husband with her; and he did eat.” Immediately following this — immediately following the

federal head of the future human race partaking of the tree — “the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked.” Then, in an evident vain effort to cover their nakedness, “they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons” (Gen. 3:1-7).

At the time of the fall, Adam and Eve lost something; and it is clearly stated in Scripture that both immediately recognized this fact.

That which they lost could only have been *a covering of pristine glory* which had previously clothed their bodies, for they, following the fall, found themselves in a twofold condition:

- 1) *Naked.*
- 2) *Separated from God.*

God is arrayed in *a covering* of “light,” connected with *honour and majesty*. And man, created in the “image” and “likeness” of God, could only have been arrayed in a similar manner prior to the fall.

“Bless the Lord, O my soul. O Lord my God, thou art very great; thou art clothed with [‘you have put on’] honour and majesty.

Who coverest thyself with light as with a garment: who stretchest out the heavens like a curtain” (Ps. 104:1, 2).

Recognizing the loss of this covering, realizing that they were naked, explains why Adam and Eve, immediately following the fall, *sought to clothe themselves*.

They tried to replace the covering which had been lost with a work of their own hands, with fig leaf aprons. And then, apparently realizing the utter inadequacy of this covering, they, in their fallen state, sought to hide from God.

God, finding Adam and Eve in this condition, completely rejected the works of their hands. God completely rejected their feeble efforts to atone for their own sin through seeking to replace the covering of pristine glory with fig leaves.

Then, to bring His fallen creature back into a right relationship (although not in complete keeping with man’s previously unfallen

state — something still future even today [and, as will be shown, something in connection with the redemption of the body]), God provided a covering consisting of animal skins (Gen. 3:21).

This portended *death and the shedding of blood*; and herein lie basic, unchangeable truths concerning the state of fallen man and the means which are necessary to effect his redemption.

Unredeemed man is a fallen creature, alienated from God; and two things are necessary to effect his redemption:

- 1) *Divine intervention.*
- 2) *Death and shed blood.*

These truths have forever been set forth in the opening chapters of Genesis and can never change.

(Two different words are used for “naked” in the Hebrew text of Gen. 2:25 [before the fall] and Gen. 3:7 [after the fall]. In the latter [3:7], the word has to do with *absolute nakedness*, but not so in the former [2:25].

Remaining within the way a person dressed in the East at the time Moses wrote Genesis, and at later times as well, the word used relative to *nakedness* pertaining to Adam and Eve preceding the fall [2:25] could be used to describe a person clothed in a tunic [inner garment] but lacking the mantle or cloak [outer garment].

In the preceding respect, prior to the fall, Adam and Eve were clothed in the Glory of God but had yet to possess the regal outer garments worn by kings [fulfilling the reason for man’s creation — to rule the earth (Gen. 1:26-28)].

Then, following the fall, no longer clothed in the Glory of God, Adam and Eve were no longer in a position to be further clothed in regal garments, realizing the purpose for their creation. Apart from the inner garment [the Glory] they *could NOT* wear the outer garments [royal apparel].

Adam, prior to the fall, *NEVER wore regal garments or held the sceptre*. In this respect, *he NEVER moved beyond the description given in Gen. 2:25 — a “naked” condition, “naked” in relation to the reason for his creation [lacking the outer regal garments]*.

Thus, if man, now separated from the Glory, is to ever fulfill the purpose for his creation, *God MUST act. Redemption has to occur; and this, of necessity, has to include the complete man — spirit, soul, and body — with a view to not only a restoration of the Glory but to regality beyond this restoration.*)

Flesh in Scripture is spoken of in synonymous terms with sin (e.g., Rom. 8:1-13; Gal. 4:23; 5:16; 6:8; I Peter 3:21; I John 2:16).

But, in actuality, there is *nothing* inherently wrong with flesh. Flesh is sinful *only* in the sense in which Scripture uses the expression, *which must relate back to and have to do with the fall*.

God created man in a body of flesh; Christ appeared in a body of flesh, which He still has today and will continue to possess throughout eternity; and the whole of mankind, as well, will live in bodies of flesh throughout eternity — a type body which God designed for man in the beginning.

Flesh is referred to as *sinful* and spoken of in synonymous terms with sin when it is not covered in the manner which God originally covered flesh and intended that flesh remain covered.

And the removal of this covering at the time of the fall, because of sin, provides the connection between flesh and sin, existing today.

Thus, Christ coming “in the likeness of sinful flesh” is simply a reference to *His coming apart from His body being enswathed in Glory*.

And, in this respect, the height of His humiliation could only have occurred following His being arrayed as a mock King when His naked body, apart from the covering of Glory originally enswathing man's body, *was exposed on the cross for all to behold*.

Christ *could NOT* have worn regal garments at this time, for there was *NO covering of Glory*. Christ, as Adam following the fall, lacked the inner garment; He lacked the covering of Glory.

And, apart from this covering of Glory, which would have allowed *regal garments* to be worn, “judgment,” *was NOT* Christ's to render.

Consequently, it was taken from Him.

Then, another — Pilate, a Gentile ruler (exercising power during the Times of the Gentiles, a time existing because of Israel's past disobedience, extending over centuries of time) — was allowed to execute judgment upon Christ.

And, as a result of this judgment, Christ was “led as a sheep to the slaughter,” with His “life” then taken away.

With all these things in mind, in the light of that stated in Rom. 8:15-23 and Phil. 3:20, 21, it should be a simple matter for anyone to see what is involved in the adoption, the redemption of the body.

In the Likeness of, Until...

Christ, at the time of His resurrection, was *NOT raised in a glorified body*. Christ's body, following His resurrection, was still void of the covering of Glory.

Christ's body was not enswathed in a covering of Glory until at least forty days following His resurrection, when He ascended and "a cloud" received Him out of the disciples' sight (Acts 1:9), an apparent reference to His being "received up into glory" (I Tim. 3:16).

In this respect, UNTIL His ascension, Christ still appeared EXACTLY as He had appeared since the time of His incarnation — in a body of flesh (though "spiritual" rather than "natural"), void of the Glory (I Cor. 15:40-49).

Note the differences in His appearance to the two disciples on the road to Emmaus following His resurrection and His appearance to Paul on the road to Damascus at a time following His ascension.

In the former appearance, it is evident that the two disciples noticed *NO visible difference* between Christ's outward appearance and that of any other Jewish man of that day.

However, following His being "received up into glory," *that changed dramatically.*

When Christ appeared to Paul in a body enswathed in Glory, Paul was blinded by His outward appearance, by light which he later described as occurring at "midday" and being "above the brightness of the sun" (Acts 9:3-9; 26:12-15).

(Note the similar description of Christ in Rev. 1:16, where He is seen at a yet future time in the role of *Judge* — a time when "judgment" cannot be and will not be taken from Him:

"...out of his mouth went a sharp twoedged sword: and his countenance was as the sun shineth in his strength.")

In Like Manner

In like manner to Christ's resurrection, *Christians will NOT be raised in glorified bodies either (rather, in "spiritual" bodies).* The

bodies of Christians will not be enswathed in Glory *UNTIL* events following the judgment seat, for it will be there that decisions and determinations will be made surrounding Christians relative to their having been shown qualified or having been shown disqualified to occupy positions with Christ in the kingdom.

And *ONLY those having been shown qualified to occupy these positions will enter into events surrounding the adoption, the redemption of the body, and the Glory.*

If a person takes the redemption of the body back to the time of the fall — *which is exactly where it MUST be taken, for something happened to the body at this time, necessitating redemption* — he can come to only one conclusion:

“The redemption of the body” has to do with placing man back in the position which he occupied PRIOR to the fall and, in this position, allowing man to realize the PURPOSE for his creation, which is REGAL.

This is the way matters are set forth in both Rom. 8:15-23 and Phil. 3:20, 21.

The word “change” in Phil. 3:21 (referring to changing our body of humiliation) is a translation of the Greek word *metaschematizo*, which refers to *an outward change*.

An inward change — described by the Greek word *metamorphoo* (Rom. 12:1, 2 [translated, “transformed”]) must have previously occurred, else there can be *NO outward change* at that future time when Christians having previously been shown qualified find themselves *enswathed in Glory*, with their bodies “fashioned like unto” *Christ's body of Glory* (Phil. 3:21).

Thus, the adoption, the change in our body of humiliation, the redemption of the body, occurs at a time following the resurrection and rapture.

This will be *the capstone of ALL which preceded, placing man back in the position which Adam occupied before the fall, though with regal garments.*

And, accordingly, it will precede and anticipate Christ's millennial reign.

Appendix II

Old Testament Subject and Structure

THE END OPENED UP AND REVEALED AT THE BEGINNING

The Old Testament can be divided into three major sections — *Genesis through Esther (historic), Job through the Song of Solomon (personal and experiential), and Isaiah through Malachi (prophetic).*

Insofar as the historical nature (and much of the typical nature) of that seen throughout the first part of these three major sections is concerned, Esther — having to do with *Israel* — outlines, in a typical fashion, that seen throughout the whole of this first section of Scripture.

Thus, in this respect, the Book of Esther simply presents a brief summary of all which has preceded surrounding Israel, with the emphasis placed in the same realm seen in the preceding Scriptures which the book outlines — on the latter days, leading into the Messianic Era. And the Book of Esther coming at the end of the first of these three major sections would be the proper place for this book in the Canon of Scripture.

This first major section of Scripture (Genesis through Esther) is often thought of only in the sense of providing *Biblical history, along with spiritual lessons drawn from Biblical history.*

However, viewing this section of Scripture from this perspective alone only presents part of the picture. This section, through mainly its type-antitype structure, is *highly prophetic in nature.* In fact, from a typical perspective, this first section is *just as prophetic* in nature as the third section — from Isaiah through Malachi, containing the major and minor prophets (major and minor in the sense of *length*, not importance).

Also, though this first section centers around Israel and the nations from a historical perspective, *typology* throughout this section is another matter. The typical structure and prophetic nature of this section of Scripture, a section covering about one-half of the entire Old Testament, is somewhat divided between *God and Israel* and *Christ and the Church*.

(In the opening two verses of the Book of Hebrews, note that God structured His Word in many different ways.

And ANY one of these ways is NO more or NO less important than ANY other of these ways, with a typical structure of the Word being ONE of these ways.

Thus, ignoring the types is ignoring part of the Word.)

Viewing just two parts of Genesis in this manner (chs. 2-4 and 23-25), note that chapters two and three have to do with Christ and the Church, and chapter four has to do with God and Israel; then chapter twenty-three has to do with God and Israel, chapter twenty-four has to do with Christ and the Church, and chapter twenty-five has to do with God and Israel once again.

Both aspects of these typical teachings can be seen in the lives of Joseph in the latter part of Genesis (chs. 37-45) and Moses in the first part of Exodus (chs. 2-4).

Both Joseph and Moses *took Gentile brides during a time AFTER they had been rejected by and separated from their brethren* (having to do with Christ and the Church, following Christ's rejection by and separation from Israel, His brethren according to the flesh).

But the time came when both Joseph and Moses *dealt with their brethren AGAIN* (having to do with Christ and Israel, with that time when God resumes His national dealings with Israel).

Then, whole books deal with matters in this typical manner. The complete Book of Exodus deals with God and Israel in this respect, and the Books of I, II Samuel deal with Christ and the Church in this same respect.

Then, the same thing can be seen in the Books of Ruth and Esther. The Book of Ruth deals with Christ and the Church, while the Book of Esther deals with God and Israel.

And, viewing matters from a different perspective yet, note that the journey of the Israelites under Moses and Joshua typifies

the journey of Christians under Christ today. *An earthly land* lay before Israel in the type, and *a heavenly land* lies before Christians in the antitype.

This type-antitype structure is that which is referenced through the use of the word *tupos* (type) in the Greek text of I Cor. 10:6, 11; this is the basis upon which particularly the second, third, fourth, and fifth of the five major warnings in Hebrews are to be understood (Heb. 3-12).

And this forms the basis for a proper understanding of the entire pilgrim walk of the Christian today (a journey *from Egypt* [a type of the world] *to Canaan* [a type of that heavenly land, connected with an inheritance and a rest, awaiting Christians]).

Or, going back to God and Israel, these same experiences of the Israelites under Moses foreshadow, as well, the future restoration of the Jewish people to the land under Christ.

Thus, saying that this first major section of Scripture is *historic* in nature, apart from being *prophetic*, would be far from correct.

Within its typical structure, this section of Scripture is *highly prophetic* — *as prophetic as any section of subsequent Scripture*. And not only are numerous prophecies seen in the types extending from Genesis through Esther but also in places such as Balaam's prophecies (Num. 22-24), or that seen in God's promises and warnings to Israel in Leviticus chapter twenty-six and Deuteronomy chapter twenty-eight as well.

This section of Scripture provides *a detailed history* of Israel, relating the reason why the nation was called into existence, what was expected of this nation, and the reason why the Jewish people ultimately found themselves uprooted from their land and scattered among the Gentile nations.

Then, viewing *the typical aspect* of this section of Scripture, events move beyond history into prophecy, showing the end of the matter — the same thing seen in the Psalms and the Prophets.

This is the way in which God designed and structured this opening section of His Word. And if man would properly understand God's revealed Word, *he MUST recognize this fact and study this Word after the SAME fashion in which it has been given*.

This opening section of Scripture, from Genesis through Esther,

forms *the backdrop* for not only the second major section (a section covering five books, from Job through the Song of Solomon) but the third major section as well (all of the prophetic books, extending from Isaiah through Malachi).

That would be to say, Genesis through Esther forms *the backdrop for the remainder of the Old Testament*. And if man does not understand (or if he ignores) that placed at the beginning, it will be impossible for him to ever come into a proper understanding of later revelation (including, of course, the N.T. as well), for the latter is inseparably tied to and built upon the former in this respect.

God's revelation to man is *progressive* in the sense that it has been designed so that a proper understanding of later revelation rests on a proper understanding of earlier revelation. One part progresses into the other, and *Scripture MUST be compared with Scripture* — later revelation with earlier revelation, and earlier revelation with later revelation (I Cor. 2:9-13; cf. Isa. 28:10).

For example, in the second section, there are numerous Psalms covering not only Israel's present condition (scattered among the Gentile nations) but also that which lies in the future for Israel (the end of Gentile world power, with Israel restored to her land and elevated to her proper place among the nations [e.g., chs. 2, 8, 22-24, 37, 45-47, 76, 83, 89, 97, 102, 110, 121, 126, 137, 145]).

And these Psalms CANNOT be properly understood apart from the backdrop provided by the first section of Scripture.

The fact that numerous Psalms *CANNOT be properly understood apart from this first section* should be easy enough to understand, for this first section of Scripture reveals the history of Israel, *extending FROM the reason for the nation's calling as God's firstborn son TO the reason God allowed the Gentile nations to come into the land and uproot His people.*

Then, beyond that, the typical aspect of the first section enters into the matter, *projecting events out into the future, as seen in the Psalms.*

And all of the Prophets present *EXACTLY the same central theme*. It is that seen throughout the preceding Psalms, or the preceding historical books, viewing the latter from both historical and typical vantage points.

Each of the prophetic books (seventeen in all, as there are seventeen historic books) deals with different facets of *the whole of Israel's history, current condition, and future destiny, with a particular emphasis placed upon God's punishment befalling His people because of disobedience, followed by the Jewish people's repentance, followed by God restoring Israel, followed by the destruction of Gentile world power.*

These things comprise the overriding theme of *ALL Old Testament prophecy as it pertains to Israel*, whether in the historic books, the Psalms, or the Prophets.

Each of the prophetic books, beginning with Isaiah, covers, after some fashion, this panorama of Israeli history — events extending *from the time of the nation's inception* almost three and one-half millenniums ago *to the Messianic Kingdom yet future.*

But no two of these seventeen books cover exactly the same thing, after exactly the same fashion. Each book centers around a particular and peculiar facet of study within this panorama of events.

In this respect, studying these prophetic books is much like studying types. As no one type provides the complete picture in and of itself, no one Old Testament prophetic book provides the complete picture in and of itself as well.

Note, for example, how Gentile world power is dealt with in the Old Testament. Such power exists *because of Israeli disobedience*; and such power also exists *to bring Israel, through persecution at the hands of the Gentiles, to the place of repentance.*

Then, since *the emphasis* concerning Israel in this realm, in any part of the Old Testament, is upon the nation's repentance and restoration, *the emphasis* concerning Gentile world power should, as well, be upon the end and destruction of Gentile world power, which it is.

One parallels the other in Biblical prophecy, whether in Moses, the Psalms, or the Prophets.

Over and over in the Old Testament — beginning in Genesis — *the END of Gentile world power* comes into view. There is an emphasis placed in this realm, for *Gentile world power MUST be brought to an END before Israel can occupy the nation's proper place within a restored theocracy.*

This is why one finds the power of Egypt destroyed in the Red Sea at the time of the Exodus under Moses (Ex. 14:27-31); this is

why one finds Haman slain prior to the Jewish people receiving their proper and due recognition (Esther 7:9, 10; 8:15-17; 10:1-3); and this is why numerous Psalms and Prophets deal with this subject prior to Israel being restored (e.g., Ps. 2:1-5; Isa. 24:21; Jer. 4:26-28; Ezek. 39:21, 22; Dan. 11:36-45; Joel 3:12-16).

Gentile world power is going to come to an END.

And its end will be as depicted in Dan. 2:34, 35, 44, 45, among numerous other places in the Old Testament.

Christ will return and personally destroy Gentile world power in its final form, headed up under Antichrist in that coming day.

And once Gentile world power has been destroyed in this manner, Scripture pictures this destruction as "chaff" thrown into the wind from a threshing floor, being carried away by that wind, with Israel then elevated to the nation's proper place among the Gentile nations of the earth, realizing the position occupied by firstborn sons (cf. Ex. 4:22, 23).

"A threshing floor" is used in Scripture to depict *judgment*.

This is true of *God's judgment upon Christians at the end of this dispensation* (cf. Ruth 3:2ff; Matt. 3:11, 12).

And it is equally true of *God's judgment upon the Gentile nations at the end of the Tribulation* (Dan. 2:35).

And to deny that these sequences of events will occur at the end of Man's Day is to deny central themes of all Old Testament Scripture, as it pertains to the Church, Israel, and the nations. Much of that seen in Old Testament Scripture surrounding the Church, Israel, and the nations awaits fulfillment.

And, in this respect, when God steps in and begins to fulfill these Scriptures, multiplied thousands upon thousands of prophecies seen throughout the pages of the Old Testament, beginning with the opening chapters of Genesis, will be fulfilled in a very short period of time.

God, through the writers of the Old Testament, has provided a *voluminous amount of information on this overall subject; and there is NO reason for anyone today to be uninformed or ignorant concerning that which God is about to do.*

ALL of this been laid out in the Old Testament Scriptures, beginning with Moses and ending with the Prophets.

Scripture Index

<i>Genesis</i>		<i>Ruth</i>	37:11-2823
1:1-2:39		3:2ff54	39:25-2923
1:210		<i>II Samuel</i>	<i>Daniel</i>
1:2-287		7:12-147	2:34, 35, 46, 4711
1:26-286, 45		7:1428	2:3554
Chapters 2-450		<i>II Chronicles</i>	4:17-2616
2:1-37		7:1425	10:13-2010
2:1743		<i>Job</i>	10:13-2116
2:2545		1:61	11:36-4554
3:1-744		2:11	<i>Joel</i>
3:7, 2145		38:71	2:3211
5:3ff2		<i>Psalms</i>	3:1-825
6:41		Chapter 252	3:1-2123
9:25-2719, 20		2:1-3, 710	3:9-2111
11:10ff2		2:1-554	3:12-1654
14:17-2012		2:1-612	<i>Amos</i>
16:1219		2:4, 510	3:1, 215
16:1618		2:5-728	<i>Jonah</i>
17:1, 18-2118		2:6-911	1:1-524
21:1, 9, 1018		2:99	1:425
22:17, 1836		Chapter 8, 22-2452	<i>Zechariah</i>
Chapters 23-2550		22:16-1843	14:9-2111
25:1ff19		96:520	<i>Matthew</i>
25:1, 1718		104:1, 244	2:27, 43
Chapters 37-4550		110:1-412	3:11, 1254
<i>Exodus</i>		<i>Isaiah</i>	9:16, 1737
1:1, 7, 914		2:1-511	12:3033
Chapters 2-450		14:12-1711	16:24-2733
2:23, 2425		24:2154	18:2-538
3:7-1025		28:1052	19:13, 1438
4:20-2325		43:113	19:16-2135
4:22, 235, 8		43:1-75, 37	24:15-3125
.....13, 15, 27, 54		43:1-104	27:3543
9:13-1626		43:5-714	<i>Mark</i>
14:27-3153		43:715	15:2443
19:11-2222		53:7, 842	<i>Luke</i>
<i>Numbers</i>		<i>Jeremiah</i>	3:23-382
19:11-2222		4:26-2854	3:381
Chapters 22-2451		<i>Ezekiel</i>	11:2333
23:915, 16		28:1415	21:20-2425
35:15-3422		28:14-1911	23:3443
<i>Deuteronomy</i>		26:21, 2254	
5:3335		36:24-2823	
7:615			
28:1-1435			
30:1-523			

<i>John</i>			
3:2-5, 16	29		
3:13, 14, 16	28, 29		
5:24	4		
18:37	7		
19:7	7		
19:7, 8, 12	8		
19:23, 24	43		
<i>Acts</i>			
1:9	47		
2:23, 36	22		
3:14, 15	22		
4:25ff	10		
5:21	14		
7:23, 37	14		
7:52	22		
8:32, 33	42		
9:3-9	47		
13:33	10, 12		
22:12-15	47		
<i>Romans</i>			
1:16	20		
2:5-16	20		
3:29, 30	20		
8:1-13	45		
8:3	43		
8:5-8, 13-23	32		
8:14	6, 29		
	31, 32		
8:14-23	33, 34, 42		
8:15, 23	5, 30		
	46, 48		
8:16, 17, 21	29, 31		
8:18, 19, 22, 23	27		
8:19	35		
9:4	13, 19		
12:1, 2	48		
13:1, 2	16		
<i>I Corinthians</i>			
2:9-13	52		
10:1, 2	25		
10:6, 11	51		
15:24-28	34		
15:40-49	47		
<i>II Corinthians</i>			
5:17	5, 27, 37		
<i>Galatians</i>			
1:11-16	20		
2:2-15	20		
3:16-18, 26	35		
3:16, 19-25, 28, 29	36		
3:26	6, 29, 32, 35, 41		
3:26-29	36, 37		
4:4-7	35		
4:5	30		
4:5, 6	6		
4:5-7	35		
4:6, 7	29, 32, 41		
4:23	45		
5:16	45		
6:8	45		
<i>Ephesians</i>			
1:5	5		
1:5, 7, 9, 11, 14	30		
2:1	4		
2:11-13	20		
2:11-15	37		
3:1-6	30, 37		
3:9-11	30		
3:10	16		
4:30	30		
6:10ff	30		
6:12	16		
<i>Philippians</i>			
2:15	29		
2:15, 16	31		
3:20, 21	42		
	46, 48		
<i>Colossians</i>			
2:12	25		
3:9, 10	32		
<i>I Timothy</i>			
3:16	47		
<i>II Timothy</i>			
1:11	20		
<i>Titus</i>			
2:12, 13	31		
<i>Hebrews</i>			
1:5	10, 12		
1:5, 6	9, 28		
1:6	27		
2:7, 9	8		
Chapters 3-12	51		
5:5	10		
5:5-10	12		
10:35-39	33		
11:1-6	1		
11:6	35		
12:5-8	29, 32		
	38, 41		
12:5-8, 16, 17	38		
12:5-8, 23	6		
12:16, 17	39		
12:16, 17, 23	30		
12:23	27, 34		
<i>I Peter</i>			
1:3-9	33		
2:9, 10	27		
3:21	45		
<i>I John</i>			
2:16	45		
3:1, 2, 10	29, 31		
3:6-9	33		
5:2	29, 31		
<i>Revelation</i>			
1:16	47		
2:26, 27	9, 34		
3:21	34		
Chapters 4, 5	17		
11:2	25		
11:15	11		
12:1-9	16		
13:1	25		
13:2	10		
17:15-18	26		
19:11-21	11		
21:4	33		
22:1, 3	7		